

LAA Target Monitoring Report

Red	Critical - Way off target. Needs urgent attention
Amber	Changes identified - further work required to meet target
Green	Very Good Performance - direction of travel positive

Children & Young People Block

Block Lead: Ruth Baldwin (OMBC) supported by Jill Beaumont (Oldham PCT)

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary			
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	Current Position (As at quarter 2)		
<b>Outcome A - Health of children an young people is improved</b>																
Percentage of schools achieving 2005 Healthy School Status (LAA65)	25/110 (22.7%)	55/110 (50%) [Dec 2006] 70/110 (64%) [Dec 2007]	78/110 (71%) [Dec 2008]	83/110 (75%) [Dec 2009] Stretch 104/110 (95%)	35/110 (31.8%) Stretch 36/110 (32.7%)	26.5%	45/110 (40.9%) Stretch 46/110 (41.8%)	32.40%	55/110 (50%) Stretch 56/110 (50.9%)	50%	59/110 (53.6%) Stretch 60/110 (54.5%)			<p><b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Target met                      Risk Analysis: None                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Projected to catch up and meet Quarter 3 target                      Risk Analysis: None                      Interventions Recommended: None                      Interventions/Projects Current: HSS team work programme</p>	
	Stretch target: 9a)	By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the proportion of schools achieving Healthy School Standard to 104/110 (95%)														
Number of conceptions to under 18s per 1,000 females aged 15-17 (LAA66)	56.6	40.5	38.7	33.5	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	40.5		<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (Positive Steps)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Data available only in quarter 4                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: C-Card Scheme, NHSS - SRE in schools, SRE in Youth Service, sexual health services, family planning, specialist midwife and health visitor working with the most vulnerable, outreach sexual health services, sexual health clinics in LAC residential, training for professionals to work with young people and sexual health, work with young men, outreach work in schools, training for school nurse,</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (Positive Steps)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Data available only in quarter 4                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: C-Card Scheme, NHSS - SRE in schools, SRE in Youth Service, sexual health services, family planning, specialist midwife and health visitor working with the most vulnerable, outreach sexual health services, sexual health clinics in LAC residential, training for professionals to work with young people and sexual health, work with young men, outreach work in schools, training for school nurse,</p>	
With the ward with the highest rate being no higher than (LAA67)	106.5	100 [Data for 2006 reported Feb 2008]	80 [data for 2007 reported Feb 2009]	70 [Data for 2008 reported Feb 2010]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	100		<p><b>Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT)</b>                      Comment on Performance: This target has recently been re-negotiated with GONW to reflect the change to the national chlamydia screening target                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: Initial results are now available from local screening sites. Performance needs to improve significantly if the 2008 target is to be achieved. However the scheme is relatively new and performance should improve following the formal launch in February 2007. Discussions will also commence in January 2007 to encourage a wider range of primary care staff to undertake screening.</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Screening sites have been identified for the first wave and screening will take place at all family planning and Brook clinics (including outreach clinics) plus Oasis. Oldham will be one of the first areas in Greater Manchester to go live with the screening programme in October 2006.                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	
Reduce incidence of Sexually Transmitted Infections amongst under - 18s (LAA68)	Establish baseline in 2006-7 for under 16s and 16-19s using Dept of Health methodology													<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Data will be available in Q4                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service. Trading standards targeting under age alcohol consumption, and adults consuming alcohol for under age drinkers.</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT)</b>                      Comment on Performance: Data will be available in Q4                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service. Trading standards targeting under age alcohol consumption, and adults consuming alcohol for under age drinkers.</p>	
Percentage of young people stating they consumed alcohol in the last month: 11-15 year olds (LAA69) 15 year olds (LAA70)	42.7% 76.4%	40.5% 74.6%	38.7% 72.3%	36.0% 70.0%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	40.5% 74.6%		<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT)</b>                      Comment on Performance:                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service.</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT)</b>                      Comment on Performance:                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service.</p>	
Percentage of young people admitting to using illicit substances in the last 6 months: 11-15 years olds; (LAA71) 15 year olds (LAA72)	7.4% 15.1%	6.5% 14.6%	6.0% 14.1%	5.5% 13.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	6.5% 14.6%		<p><b>Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT)</b>                      Comment on Performance: LAA refresh has updated the measure in line with national guidance. School health advisors are in the process of measuring and weighing all reception &amp; Yr 6 children - this data will be available July/Aug 2007.                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT)</b>                      Comment on Performance: After the target was agreed guidance from DH has changed and we now have to measure different cohorts of children -Yr 6 and Reception. The children will be measured on an annual basis and form part of a national data set. The guidance is aimed at halting the rise of obesity by 2010 but they have set targets of a rise of no more than 0.4% 2006/7 and 0.3% 2007/8                      Risk Analysis:                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current: This will be raised during the LAA Refresh and this indicator changed to reflect the new guidance.</p>	
% Incidence of Obesity amongst children aged 11 (LAA73)	25%	25% [Oct 2006]	25% [Oct 2007]	24% [Oct 2008]	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007		<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b>                      Comment on Performance: Good performance over achieving the target set.                      Risk Analysis: The school sport structure continues to be externally funded but good systems and structures are now embedding.                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b>                      Comment on Performance: This is measured on an annual external joint DCMS/DfES survey. The surveys are currently in schools and the results are issued in October. It is expected we will hit our 06 target of 75%                      Risk Analysis: The school sport structure is mostly externally funded.                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>
Percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week (LAA73b)	68%	75% [2006-7]	85% [2007-8]	86% [2008-9] Stretch 88%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	75.0%	84.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b>                      Comment on Performance: Excellent progress has been made on this target. The target for 06/07 has been achieved with the end target for 08/09 also being achieved.                      Risk Analysis: Full funding for Open for Action is still an issue. It is important that activity levels are maintained/increased to stay on track/maintain the % age achieved with this target. Reduced activity levels would have a negative effect on this and other targets.                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b>                      Comment on Performance: Ongoing funding is a potential issue for the Open for Action programme, which provides most of the activity that contributes to this target. The programme is partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream.                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	
Of those taking part in two hours of high quality PE and sport in school, the number also taking part in sport or moderate physical activity in the community for 1 or more hours a week (LAA74)	78%	80% [2006-7]	82% [2007-8]	83% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	80%	85%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b>                      Comment on Performance: Excellent progress has been made on this target. The target for 06/07 has been achieved with the end target for 08/09 also being achieved.                      Risk Analysis: Full funding for Open for Action is still an issue. It is important that activity levels are maintained/increased to stay on track/maintain the % age achieved with this target. Reduced activity levels would have a negative effect on this and other targets.                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b>                      Comment on Performance: Ongoing funding is a potential issue for the Open for Action programme, which provides most of the activity that contributes to this target. The programme is partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream.                      Interventions Recommended:                      Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	Current Position (As at quarter 2)
<b>Outcome B - Children and young people, particularly those most vulnerable, stay safe</b>														
Percentage of 11-15 year olds experiencing bullying in schools and other venues (LAA75)	19%	17% [Dec 2006]	15% [Dec 2007]	14% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	17%	<p><b>Accountability - Angela Newman</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> multi agency Behaviour for Learning Task Group meets on regular basis, includes representation from primary and secondary schools. Anti-bullying sub group established to action plan and commission activities ensuring co-ordinated action across services. -Anti-bullying week activities reported on OMBC website with weblinks to useful sites. Also highlights Boo2Bullying email service for C&amp;YP living in care and Council Safe parenting. Bullying Fact Sheet available.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Anti bullying task group to action plan and create risk register ;to co-ordinate Healthy Schools Standard activities with National Strategies focus; to investigate school level data collection and reporting  -Annual pupil survey to be conducted for monitoring purposes</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Susan Howe</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> A group is being established to take forward an Anti-bullying strategy which will be part of the Behaviour for Learning Strategy.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
Percentage of 11-15 year olds reporting racist abuse, threats or attacks in schools and other venues (LAA76)	13.7%	12.5% [Dec 2006]	12.0% [Dec 2007]	11.5% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	13%	<p><b>Accountability - Angela Newman</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> multi agency Behaviour for Learning Task Group meets on regular basis, includes representation from primary and secondary schools. Anti-bullying sub group established to action plan and commission activities ensuring co-ordinated action across services. -Anti-bullying week activities reported on OMBC website with weblinks to useful sites. Also highlights Boo2Bullying email service for C&amp;YP living in care and Council Safe parenting. Bullying Fact Sheet available.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Anti bullying task group to action plan and create risk register ;to co-ordinate Healthy Schools Standard activities with National Strategies focus;to investigate school level data collection and reporting  -Annual pupil survey to be conducted for monitoring purposes</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Susan Howe</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> A group is being established to take forward an Anti-bullying strategy which will be part of the Behaviour for Learning Strategy.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
Proportion of children looked after for 12 months or more placed for adoption (BVP163)	4.9%	7% [2006-7]	8% [2007-8]	8% [2008-9]	7%	5.1%	7%	7.5%	7%	5.1%	7%	7%	<p><b>Accountability - Steve Slater</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> this is monitored monthly and performance has dipped this quarter  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Need to assess why this has happened, whether it is a blip or the trend is now downwards  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Sservice manager now in place</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Steve Slater</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> We have exceeded our target, this will continue to be monitored Monthly.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> The restructure of the adoption service is ongoing and should ensure that improvement in this service is continuous.</p>
<b>Outcome C - Children and young people excel through formal and informal education</b>														
Number of childcare places available (LAA77)	2003 - 5,027 2004 - 5,615 2005 - 6,414	6,748 [July 2006]	6,868 [July 2007]	7,018 [July 2008]		6,656	6,748	6,625	6,748	6,696			<p><b>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Carol Lancaster</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The OfSTED registration process continues for the additional 434 places in Children's Centres and there are a number of variations being progressed through OfSTED to enable a fully co-ordinated and integrated day care offer with schools. The build process at Littlemoor continues and the OfSTED registration is proceeding to enable the places to be created as soon as possible. A number of childminder places have been included and co-ordinated through a strategy to ensure that a variety of childcare places are created to flexibly meet family need.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> DFES continue to be aware of the situation at Littlemoor and fortnightly site meetings are taking place to ensure that progress is monitored and is progressing. Childcare places in schools has been risk assessed and Headteachers are fully aware of the benefits and challenges of providing childcare.  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Continued ongoing monitoring of the 434 registered childcare places and capital build at Littlemoor under a risk assessment approach.  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>	<p><b>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Carol Lancaster</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The process for registration of the required additional 434 places in children's centres in schools is in the final stages of completion - expected November 2006 (with the exception of Littlemoor who have previously reported on capital delay due to contractor going into liquidation).  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> DFES are aware of this situation at Littlemoor. Capital Planning Team are working to minimise delays in re starting the capital work with an alternative contractor. Other risks include - full daycare in children's centres in schools - a new and untried venture in OMBC. This places significant additional responsibilities on the management team of the school who have no track record of delivering self sustaining services at the present time. This situation is currently being risk assessed. Worthy of note re next quarter results - there is likely to be a reduction of daycare target places (50) reported. A gap in strategic planning and decision making on spend between different grant funded initiatives has been identified as the likely cause.  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Revised schedule for completion with capital planning team re Littlemoor being drawn up. Adoption of a more integrated approach to strategic planning and decision making for early years and extended services is underway which should promote complementary target outcomes.  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
Percentage of children reaching 'good' level of development at end of Foundation Stage (LAA78)	46% (2005)	48% (2006-7)	49% (2007-8)	50% (2008-9)	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	39.1% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p><b>Accountability - Carol Lancaster</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The target for 2006/07 was 48%, the actual score was 39%. In 2007/08 we have set a target of 49%. In order to support the achievement of this we have put in place the following strategies in conjunction with the School Improvement Service:  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>  * A revised moderation strategy  * Participation of cross authority moderation  * Raising awareness of the importance of Foundation Stage Profile data with Headteachers, Assessment Co-ordinators and Foundation Stage Teachers  * Increased targeted support for schools with low Foundation Stage Profile  * Increased rigour of data analysis to identify under performance and low achieving groups  * Targeted training to support teachers and assessment coordinators  * Implementation of the national Communication, Literacy, Language Development programme  * Increased training for practitioners in Communication, Literacy, Language Development and Personal, Social and Emotional Development using communicating matters.  * Appointment of Early Years lead in the School Improvement  * Monitoring schools participation in moderation</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Carol Lancaster</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. It is felt that improved moderation processes for the foundation stage have resulted in more accurate data being collected which has impacted upon current performance results.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> New guidance around Early Years target setting for Foundation Stage outcomes and performance management of Children's Centres has been received. Work towards setting realistic and achievable targets is underway with colleagues in the School Improvement Service.  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	Current Position (As at quarter 2)
<p><b>Half days missed through authorised and unauthorised absence: Primary; (BVPI46) Secondary (BVPI45)</b></p>	5.7% 8.5%	5.5% 7.7% [2006-7]	5.3% 7.55% [2007-8]	5.2% 7.4% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	5.72% 7.98% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	5.72% 7.98%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p><b>Accountability - Michelle Vaughan</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year (September 2006 - May 2007). Achieved lowest secondary absence rate ever. Downward trend over last four academic years and closing the gap between national and local (national absence is 7.92%). Absence rates for 2005/6 are now finalised. LA data indicates that we are not on trajectory to meet this target. It is felt that the BVPI targets were over ambitious as they are based on our LPSA targets. Work with the Research &amp; Information team has enabled us to refine our target setting and projection methods and has meant our primary targets have been successfully renegotiated. Unfortunately, LPSA targets are non-negotiable. Absence levels in Oldham were affected by a particularly virulent virus "Flu B" during Spring Term 2006 – this has affected absence rates nationally. Secondary absence levels have in the main risen in most regions – Oldham and the North West seem to have "bucked" the national trend. The lack of a Research &amp; Intelligence post will also have implications for 06/07 targets being met/renegotiated. This post has previously meant that EWS interventions are underpinned by detailed analysis and are therefore more effective in raising school attendance. Also, the post has been able to analyse the effect of the new DFES registration codes and its potential effect on attendance levels. Initial research has shown that attendance could be up to 1% less in 2006/7. However, further detailed analysis needs to take place to renegotiate targets. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Individual targets set for all schools were set during Autumn Term 06 along with levels of support for individual schools. Data was reviewed, however no significant difference between Forvus and EWO online data meant that a full cleansing exercise was not undertaken. Funding has now been agreed for a Research &amp; Intelligence Officer post to be funded from April 2006 onward</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Michelle Vaughan</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Achieved lowest secondary absence rate ever. Downward trend over last four academic years and closing the gap between national and local (national absence is 7.92%). Absence rates for 2005/6 will not be confirmed by the DFES until December 2006. Current 'unofficial' LA data indicates that we are not on trajectory to meet this target. It is felt that the BVPI targets were over ambitious as they are based on our LPSA targets. Work with the Research &amp; Information team has enabled us to refine our target setting and projection methods and has meant our primary targets have been successfully renegotiated. Unfortunately, LPSA targets are non-negotiable. Absence levels in Oldham were affected by a particularly virulent virus "Flu B" during Spring Term 2006 – this has affected absence rates nationally. Secondary absence levels have in the main risen in most regions – Oldham and the North West seem to have "bucked" the national trend. The lack of a Research &amp; Intelligence post will also have implications for 06/07 targets being met/renegotiated. This post has previously meant that EWS interventions are underpinned by detailed analysis and are therefore more effective in raising school attendance. Also, the post has been able to analyse the effect of the new DFES registration codes and its potential effect on attendance levels. Initial research has shown that attendance could be up to 1% less in 2006/7. However, further detailed analysis needs to take place to renegotiate targets. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Individual targets set for all schools in Autumn Term annually. Levels of support agreed in partnership with individual schools. Advisers and Research &amp; Information team are currently working to cleanse the data, to ensure that there are no errors in data returned to DFES. However, the lack of a Research &amp; Intelligence Officer, will mean that data will not be as "thoroughly cleansed" as in 04/05.</p>
<p><b>Percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA79)</b></p> <p><b>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA80)</b></p>	<p>2003 - 82% 2004 - 81% 2005 - 81%</p> <p>2003 - 50% 2004 - 53% 2005 - 28%</p>	<p>82% 2006-7</p> <p>35% 2006-7</p>	<p>82% 2007-8</p> <p>45% 2007-8</p>	<p>83% 2008 9 <b>Stretch 85%</b></p> <p>57% 2008 9 <b>Stretch 60%</b></p>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p><b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ to 82% (National results have declined )The lowest performing school in reading achieved 40% which exceeds the target for 2006/7 <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Schools at risk of achieving below the lowest result have been identified through the target setting process and discussions with Headteachers. Additional support for these schools has been identified. Large numbers of international new arrivals to Oldham and high mobility in some schools can affect school's results dramatically. <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Interventions as reported last quarter continue. In addition - 10 Schools with declining or low FS and/or KS1 results been identified for the new Communication, Language &amp; Literacy programme.</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ to 82% (National results have declined )The lowest performing school in reading achieved 40% which exceeds the target for 2006/7 <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Schools at risk identified, discussions to identify further interventions to take place Autumn term <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> 30 of the lowest attaining schools are supported by Primary Strategy and MEA consultants. This is either through the ISP programme or a bespoke package of support including 6 schools receiving specific consultant support for FS/KS1. 2 schools will be supported by a KS1 Advisory teacher. 8 schools will receive consultant support from Excellent Learning on developing writing.</p>
<b>Stretch target: 10a)</b>		By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 85%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 60%												
<p><b>Percentage of 7 year olds achieving level 2 Writing at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA81)</b></p> <p><b>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA82)</b></p>	<p>2003 - 80% 2004 - 80% 2005 - 79%</p> <p>2003 - 22% 2004 - 41% 2005 - 33%</p>	<p>80% 2006-7</p> <p>40% 2006-7</p>	<p>80% 2007-8</p> <p>44% 2007-8</p>	<p>81% 2008 9 <b>Stretch 83%</b></p> <p>47% 2008 9 <b>Stretch 50%</b></p>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	79.7% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	79.70%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p><b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ in writing to 80% (National results declined) Lowest achieving school attained 38% which is significantly above lowest result last yr <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Risk Analysis: Schools at risk of achieving below the lowest result have been identified through the target setting process and discussions with Headteachers. Additional support for these schools has been identified. Large numbers of international new arrivals to Oldham and high mobility in some schools can affect school's results dramatically. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Interventions as reported last quarter continue. In addition - 10 Schools with declining or low FS and/or KS1 results been identified for the new Communication, Language &amp; Literacy programme.</p>	<p><b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ in writing to 80% (National results declined) Lowest achieving school attained 38% which is significantly above lowest result last yr. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> As above</p>
<b>Stretch target: 10b)</b>		By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Writing at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 83%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 50%												

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary			
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	Current Position (As at quarter 2)		
<p><b>Percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4 in English: Borough wide (BVP141)</b></p> <p>2003 - 72% 2004 - 75% 2005 - 76%</p> <p>77% 2006-7</p> <p>78% 2007-8</p> <p>79% 2008 9 Stretch 81%</p>	<p>2003 - 19% 2004 - 30% 2005 - 39%</p> <p>43% 2006-7</p> <p>46% 2007-8</p> <p>47% 2008 9 Stretch 50%</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p>											<p>78%</p>	<p>78%</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham has improved by 2% in English to 78% which is the target for 2006/7 (National results remained static) Lowest achieving school was Watersheddings who had a high proportion of pupils with SEN in cohort Interventions/Projects Current: Risk Analysis: Schools at risk of not achieving the high result or achieving below the lowest result have been identified through the target setting process and discussions with Headteachers. Additional support for these schools has been identified. Large numbers of international new arrivals to Oldham and high mobility in some schools can affect school's results dramatically. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: As reported last quarter</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham has improved by 2% in English to 78% which is the target for 2006/7 (National results remained static) Lowest achieving school was Watersheddings who had a high proportion of pupils with SEN in cohort Risk Analysis: Schools at risk identified as above Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: 30 of the lowest attaining schools are supported by Primary Strategy and MEA consultants. This is either through the ISP programme or a bespoke package of support Two successful Primary Strategy Learning Networks will be funded to develop work with under performing groups of pupils with a particular focus on writing. 8 schools will receive consultant support from Excellent Learning on developing writing.</p>
<p>Stretch target: 10c) (Borough wide) to 81%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%</p>																
<p><b>KS3 - Percentage of Schools with 50% or more pupils achieving Level 5 or above in English, Maths and Science (LAA85)</b></p> <p>73.3% [Number = 12]</p> <p>86.7% [13]</p> <p>93.3% [14]</p> <p>100% [15]</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>60% (9) results just for info</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>60%</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Schools are currently analysing the results of end of term assessments. A clearer picture of progress to targets will be available next quarter. Risk Analysis: 4 schools Interventions Recommended: Booster and consultant support. Intervention conference planned. Involvement of SIPs in monitoring progress Interventions/Projects Current: Additional funding from DFES targeted at 4 schools. ISP project continues to be embedded</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 60% of schools achieved L5+ in Maths Science and English in 2006 Risk Analysis: 4 schools will be required to improve attainment to reach the target in 2007: currently 4 schools meet 2 out of 3 targets and 2 schools meet 1 out of three. Interventions Recommended: Central Booster and support for intervention strategies targeted work by NS consultants Interventions/Projects Current: English and Maths Intervention Board: Study Plus :Challenge from SIP</p>													
<p><b>Percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5 in English: Borough wide (BVP1181a)</b></p> <p>2003 -65% 2004 - 68% 2005 - 69%</p> <p>72% 2006-7</p> <p>73% 2007-8</p> <p>74% 2008 9 Stretch 75%</p>	<p>2003 - 33% 2004 - 36% 2005 - 41%</p> <p>43% 2006-7</p> <p>46% 2007-8</p> <p>48% 2008 9 Stretch 50%</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>65.8% Results just for info</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>65.80%</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p>											<p>65.8%</p>	<p>35.30%</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Schools are currently analysing the results of end of term assessments. A clearer picture of progress to targets will be available next quarter. Risk Analysis: 4 schools Interventions Recommended: Booster and consultant support. Intervention conference planned. Involvement of SIPs in monitoring progress Interventions/Projects Current: Additional funding from DFES targeted at 4 schools. ISP project continues to be embedded. Study Plus Pilot very well received by schools, MEAP project undertaken</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Results at both L5 and L6 fell significantly. Although the national results declined, the decline in Oldham was greater. The 4% decline at L6+ . Over the last 3 years: performance at L5+ has declined by 2% and the gap to national averages has increased from 3% to 6% performance at L6+ has declined by 1% and the gap to national averages has increased from 6% to 9% The LA was 5% below target. Risk Analysis: An improvement of 6% would be needed to achieve the 2007 target of 72%. FFT estimates 69% (B), 75% (D). Comparisons between reading and writing show that at L5+ there is a 9% difference, with writing better than reading. This is similar to the national difference of 10% Comparison between the performance of boys and girls reveals that girls performed 16% better than boys overall in English. This is similar to the national difference of 15%</p>
<p>Stretch target: 11a) (Borough wide) to 75%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%</p>																
<p><b>KS4 - Percentage of Schools with 30% or more pupils achieving 5 A* - C grades at GCSE (LAA86)</b></p> <p>93.3%</p> <p>100% 2006-7</p> <p>100% 2007-8</p> <p>100% 2008-9</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>100% Results just for info</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>100%</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.2% to 54.1%. Currently no schools are below 30% floor target. FFT estimates 59.5% (D) for 2007. Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 9.6%. The result was less than 1% below the LA target. LA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. 1 school was within 3% of the floor target of 30% Risk Analysis: There is a risk that 1 school might fall below 30% in 2007 Interventions Recommended: Establishment of English and Maths Intervention Board, LDF funding applied for English and Maths Interventions/Projects Current: SIPB to oversee school improvement, targeting of NS consultancy, Study Plus initiative, SSISP initiative at vulnerable school :challenge from SIP. Oldham has submitted applications to the Gateway to be a pilot authority on each of the 5 diploma lines</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.4% to 54.3%. Currently no schools are below 30% floor target. FFT estimates 59.5% (D) for 2007. Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 9.8%. The result was less than 1% below the LA target. LA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. 1 school was within 3% of the floor target of 30% Risk Analysis: There is a risk that 1 school might fall below 30% in 2007 Interventions Recommended: Establishment of English and Maths Intervention Board, LDF funding applied for English and Maths Interventions/Projects Current: SIPB to oversee school improvement, targeting of NS consultancy, Study Plus initiative, SSISP initiative at vulnerable school :challenge from SIP</p>													
<p><b>KS4 - % achieving 5 GCSEs at A* - C: Borough wide; (LAA87) Kaskenmoor; (LAA88) Radclyffe (LAA89)</b></p> <p>51.9% 32% 18.7% 26.5%</p> <p>61% 32% 34% [2006-7]</p> <p>62% 32% 34% [2007-8]</p> <p>63% 33% 35% [2008-9]</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>54.3% 31.5% 44.7% Results just for info</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>54.1% 32.3% 45.5%</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.2% to 54.1%. FFT estimates were 51.6 (B) and 59.5 (D). Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 8.7%. The result was 1.9% below the LA target. The figure is expected to rise slightly due to school checking. LAA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. Risk Analysis: Kaskenmoor and The Radclyffe both achieved significant improvements of +16% and +14%. Overall a +5.5% average increase was achieved by the Category 2 schools. Interventions Recommended: English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. Interventions/Projects Current: SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership: challenge from SIP. Boroughwide training day took place for all schools in Borough</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.4% to 54.3%. FFT estimates were 51.6 (B) and 59.5 (D). Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 8.9%. the result was 1.7% below the LA target. The figure is expected to rise slightly due to school checking. LAA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. Risk Analysis: Kaskenmoor and The Radclyffe both achieved significant improvements of +16% and +14%. Overall a +5.5% average increase was achieved by the Category 2 schools. Interventions Recommended: English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. Interventions/Projects Current: SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership: challenge from SIP</p>													

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	Current Position (As at quarter 2)
<b>Percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11: Borough wide (LAA89a)</b>  <b>The lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA89b)</b>	2003 - 31.3% 2004 - 31.7% 2005 - 35.4%	37.5%	38.5%	40.0%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35.20%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<b>Accountability: Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results declined by 0.2% to 35.2%. FFT D aggregated estimate was 38.9%. Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 2.9%.The result was 4.4% below the LA Target. The target of 12.5% in 2007 in the lowest performing school has already been achieved in 2006 <b>Risk Analysis:</b> FFT D Aggregated school target for 2007 is 43%. This would require an 8.4% increase and would, if achieved, represent a significant change to the current rate of improvement. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. support <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership support from OSFC and TOC: challenge from SIP. Work funded through LSC/LIDF to support joint working between pre + post 16 providers	<b>Accountability: Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results declined by 0.8% to 34.6%. FFT D aggregated estimate was 38.9%. Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 2.9%.The result was 4.4% below the LA Target. The target of 12.5% in 2007 in the lowest performing school has already been achieved in 2006 <b>Risk Analysis:</b> FFT D Aggregated school target for 2007 is 43%. This would require an 8.4% increase and would, if achieved, represent a significant change to the current rate of improvement. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. support <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership support from OSFC and TOC: challenge from SIP
	2003 - 10.0% 2004 - 11.8% 2005 - 11.4%	12.5%	15.5%	18.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	14.2% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	14.20%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		
	<b>Stretch target: 11b)</b>	<b>By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11 (Borough wide) to 42% with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 20.5%</b>												
<b>Outcome D - Young people play a positive role in the community</b>														
<b>Number of 16/17 year olds on electoral register (LAA89c)</b>	1080/6186 (17.5%)	1705 (27.6%) [Dec 2006]	2102 (34.0%) [Dec 2007]	2500 (40.4%) [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1705 (27.5%)	N/a	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<b>Accountability - Pam Griffin</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> As a result of the LAA refresh we are now awaiting new targets to report on. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	<b>Accountability - Pam Griffin</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Funding only available until March 2007. The current system for collecting data on an age related target is difficult as once young people reach 18 they no longer contribute to the final figure. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> As the final figure if for December 2008, work is being undertaken to identify these young people in the Borough who will be 18yrs by 30 November 2009,i.e. born between 1 Dec 90- 30 Nov 91. By working with these young people now and over the coming years they will have a greater understanding of democratic processes, empowerment and participation and will be well informed on how to ensure they are on the electoral register when they reach the appropriate age.
<b>Number of children and young people engaged in community cohesion activities for at least 2 hours per week in term time through: "Unity in the Community" (LAA90)</b>	1,350	1380	1400	1450	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1380	N/a	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The wording for this PI has been changed and we are waiting for formal confirmation of this. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>	<b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Ongoing funding is an issue for the programme, it is now partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>
<b>Participation of LAC aged 5+ in review meetings (LAA91)</b>	91.5%	95%	95%	95%	95%	54%	95%	64.2%	95%	70.4%	95%	<b>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The trajectory continues to show slow but improving performance .. the 95% target is challenging and further developmental work is planned between IRO's and social workers for Looked after children. Current performance takes us from red to amber but a risk to achieving this target is linked to the capacity of social workers and IRO's in order to ensure LAC are fully engaged in their review process. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	<b>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The corrective actions are beginning to take effect, and this is being born out by an improvements of 10.4% since last quarter. This will continue to be monitored on a monthly basis. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	
<b>Incidence of Reported Youth Nuisance (LAA92)</b>	Baseline to be established in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	<b>Accountability - Martin O'Connell/Colin Cooper</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> In April 2006, Greater Manchester Police changed the way they recorded incidents of juvenile nuisance making comparisons with previous years meaningless. GMP now use a classification of rowdy / nuisance behaviour. However this classification is not restricted to just juveniles but to adults as well. To complicate the situation the way incidents are currently coded by GMP means that an incident involving a juvenile could be coded using 3 sub-codes. E.g. an incident could be recorded as rowdy and / or nuisance, nuisance or inappropriate use; disturbance in a public place; street drinking etc.This means that dependant on how a search is undertaken, depends on the number of incidents per code. Because of this issue setting a baseline target and developing targets is problematic.This issue is a Greater Manchester Police problem which they are looking to rectify through bringing in an external IT company to clean the data sets. Ongoing. No date for completion <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Unlikely to identify robust and relevant baseline before 2007/2008 <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> To review and amend target as part of LAA refresh process	<b>Accountability - Martin O'Connell/Colin Cooper</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> In April 2006, Greater Manchester Police changed the way they recorded incidents of juvenile nuisance making comparisons with previous years meaningless. GMP now use a classification of rowdy / nuisance behaviour. However this classification is not restricted to just juveniles but to adults as well. To complicate the situation the way incidents are currently coded by GMP means that an incident involving a juvenile could be coded using up to three sub-codes. For example an incident could be recorded as rowdy and / or nuisance, nuisance or inappropriate use; disturbance in a public place; street drinking etc.etc.This means that dependant on how a search is undertaken, depends on the number of incidents per code. Because of this issue setting a baseline target and developing targets is problematic.This issue is a Greater Manchester Police problem which they are looking to rectify through bringing in an external IT company to clean the data sets. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Unlikely to identify robust and relevant baseline before end of Q3 <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> To review and amend target as part of LAA refresh process	
<b>Number of young people aged 10-17 cautioned or convicted for the first time (LAA93)</b>	2002/3 - 350 2003/4 - 448 2004/5 - 398	359	341	324	90	81	89 (179 Cum)	58 (139 Cum)	90 (269 Cum)	Figure not available until end of the month	90 for Qtr (359 Cum)	<b>Accountability - Penny Sharland</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Figures for quarter 3 indicate continuing progress and plans in Alexandra Ward to appoint a co-ordinator and establish panels to assess need are now fully operational. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> There are difficulties getting the necessary software installed and this is hampering electronic recording. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> That the software issues are resolved by PSO as soon as possible <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Fitton Hill & Hathershaw YIP, Greenacres YIP, Horizons, South Alexandra Inclusion Initiative.	<b>Accountability - Penny Sharland</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Figures for Qtr 2 are subject to verification, but nonetheless are well on track to achieving target with a cumulative total of 139 first time entrants out of a target of 179 for the first 2 quarters. Work continues in the YOS developing initiatives including joint work delivering pre-court interventions and our youth crime prevention initiatives YIPs and YISP. The YOS has also been successful in engaging key partners through the youth crime prevention sub-committee to monitor and review this target, and plan a strategic response. Through this group we have been able to implement a new multi-agency initiative in the South Alexandra Ward. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Problems with children and young people entering the Criminal Justice System are disproportionately high in most of the borough priority wards. Due to funding criteria and limited resources the YOS cannot provide targeted support for those children and young people at risk of offending/anti-social	

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	Current Position (As at quarter 2)
Number of young people previously cautioned or convicted who re-offend during the year (LAA94)	2003 outturn - 39.3% 2004 outturn - 31.3%	29.7%	28.2%	27.8%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	29.70%	Accountability - Penny Sharland <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Analysis of re-offending not undertaken on a quarterly basis. Analysis and annual figure will be available at the end of March 2007 <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	Accountability - Penny Sharland <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Analysis of re-offending not undertaken on a quarterly basis. Analysis and annual figure will be available at the end of March 2007 <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	
Percentage of LAC cautioned or convicted (LAA95)	12.8%	11.0%	10.5%	10.0%	11%	12%	11%	6.9%	11%	Figure not available until end of the month	11%	Accountability - Steve Slater <b>Comment on Performance:</b> This PI remains monitored on a monthly basis and is improving, the number of LAC being cautioned or convicted has reduced <b>Risk Analysis: This is monitored and measured monthly</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Current: staff receiving training and it also part of the golden thread i.e. appraisal team plan etc	Accountability - Steve Slater <b>Comment on Performance:</b> This PI is monitored on a monthly basis and a number of actions are in place to reduce offending. <b>Risk Analysis: This is monitored monthly</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Training of staff is in place and is also in the staffs appraisals, and therefore this is a key issue which is being focussed on.	
<b>Outcome E - Young people achieve economic well being</b>														
Proportion of young people with Learning & Other Disabilities in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA96)	79.5%	81.5% Nov 2006	82% Nov 2007	82.5% Nov 2008	81.5%	84.2%	81.5%	85.60%	81.5%	78.60%	81.5%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Slightly below target, this measure influenced by increase in overall NEET percentage <b>Risk Analysis: If NEET continues to rise this target could fall short</b> <b>Interventions Recommended: None at this stage</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	Accountability - Tim Mitchell <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Above Target <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	
Proportion of teenage parents in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA97)	35.8% 2005	38%	40%	50%	38%	38.3%	38%	46.70%	38%	39.70%	38%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Target met <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	Accountability - Tim Mitchell <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Above target <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	
Percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training: Borough wide (LAA98)	7.0%	6.9% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	6.6% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	6.3% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 <b>Stretch 5.8%</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8% <b>Just for info</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8.9% <b>Just for info</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	7.70%	6.9%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Connexions) <b>Comment on Performance:</b> The '16-18 NEET rate' is still causing extreme concern. (The rate has actually increased by 8.4% since last December. The number of unknowns is low at 3.8% therefore most NEET young people are accounted for. It is very unlikely that the first Local Area Agreement milestone target will be met. To meet the target of 6.9% the rates for January would have to fall to 5.2% or below. It should also be noted that Oldham's general unemployment rate (16-65) continues to show extreme cause for concern The continued need for the Borough's young people to widen their job search beyond the Borough's boundaries, particularly into East and Central Manchester and Ashton-under-Lyne is crucial. <b>Risk Analysis: See comment above</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Continued targeting of high volume wards and neighbourhood. Working with FE providers to identify and stem the flow of drop-outs to NEET <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Generic Connexions provision across the Borough. Targeted activity in Alexandra Ward and NDC area.	Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Connexions) <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Rate is now below that achieved same point last year. <b>Risk Analysis: Worsening economy, post-16 drop out rate</b> <b>Interventions Recommended: None</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Borough wide NEET strategy and targeted work in Alexandra Ward	
The lowest performing ward achieving no higher than (LAA99)	15.5%	14.5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	13.5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	11.0% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 <b>Stretch 10.5%</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	17.8% <b>Just for info</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	15.5% <b>Just for info</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	16.4% <b>Just for info</b>	14.5%			
<b>Stretch Target: 12)</b>	<b>By the end of the third year of the LAA, to reduce the percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training (Borough wide) to 5.8% with the lowest performing ward achieving no higher than 10.5%</b>													
16-18 year olds recorded as "Unknown" (LAA100)	3.9%	<=5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	<=5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	<=5% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	5% <b>Just for info</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	3.2% <b>Just for info</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	3.8% <b>Just for info</b>	<=5%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Well above target <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	Accountability - Tim Mitchell <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Well above target <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	