

LAA Target Monitoring Report

Red	Critical - Way off target. Needs urgent attention
Amber	Changes identified - further work required to meet target
Green	Very Good Performance - direction of travel positive

Children & Young People Block

Block Lead: Ruth Baldwin (OMBC) supported by Jacque O'Reilly (Oldham PCT)

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
<b>Outcome A - Health of children and young people is improved</b>													
Percentage of schools achieving 2005 Healthy School Status (LAA65)	25/110 (22.7%)	55/113 (50%) [Dec 2006]	78/113 (71%) [Dec 2008]	83/113 (75%) [Dec 2009]	62/113 55% Stretch 65/113 58%	62 / 113 (55%)	66/113 56% Stretch 71/113 65%		70/113 64% Stretch 77/113 70%		74/113 67% Stretch 82/113 75%		<b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps)</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> On target <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>
		Stretch target: 9a) By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the proportion of schools achieving Healthy School Standard to 104/113 (95%)											
Number of conceptions to under 18s per 1,000 females aged 15-17 (LAA66)	56.6	40.5	38.7	33.5	Monitored Annually at Qtr4						38.7	<b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps)</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> 2005 data showed 26% reduction since baseline established in 1998. Oldham Teenage Pregnancy Partnership classed as one of only two GREEN RAG ratings in the North West. Progress on quarter 1 for 2006 also showing continued downward trend. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b>	
With the ward with the highest rate being no higher than (LAA67)	106.5	100 [Data for 2006 reported Feb 2008]	80 [data for 2007 reported Feb 2009]	70 [Data for 2008 reported Feb 2010]	Monitored Annually at Qtr4						80.0		
Reduce the likelihood of the onward transmission of Chlamydia and other associated STIs by increasing the numbers of 15-19 year olds accessing screening and treatment services on an annual basis (LAA145)	7.5% (178 screens)	-	15% (354 per month)	15%	9.4%	8.7% (based on data from April & May only)	10.8%		12.9%		15.0%	<b>Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT)</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Oldham is the third best performing area in Greater Manchester in terms of the chlamydia screening target. Since the programme began in October 2006, the number of screens provided locally has increased month on month. However the 15% target is challenging and is unlikely to be achieved by the majority of PCTs. Oldham is a third wave chlamydia screening site and it is likely that only areas in the first and second waves will achieve the target by 2008. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Progress against the target is monitored monthly and recently activity has been accelerated in a bid to reach young people who do not access services provided from existing screening sites. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Each year the Chlamydia Screening Group produces an annual action plan for Oldham. This is being implemented. <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> As per 2007/8 Chlamydia Screening Action Plan available on request.	
Percentage of young people stating they consumed alcohol in the last month: 11-15 year olds (LAA69) 15 year olds (LAA70)	42.7% 76.4%	40.5% 74.6% [Dec 2006]	38.7% 72.3% [Dec 2007]	36.0% 70.0% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4						38.7% 72.3%		

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
Percentage of young people admitting to using illicit substances in the last 6 months: 11-15 years olds; (LAA71) 15 year olds (LAA72)	7.4% 15.1%	6.5% 14.6% [Dec 2006]	6.0% 14.1% [Dec 2007]	5.5% 13.5% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	6.0% 14.1%		<p><b>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT)</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b></p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> None</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Screening and interventions with young people from vulnerable groups by oasis@connexions, and staff working within young people's services.</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Drug and Alcohol Education in schools, and young people services. Screening and Assessment of young people within vulnerable groups by services and oasis@connexions. Roll out of Screening and Assessment Training to professionals working with young people.</p>
Percentage of primary school age children in Reception Year and Year 6 with height and weight recorded who are obese (LAA146)	15.94%	-	16.65%	16.72%	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	16.65%		Data will be available July/August 2008	Data will be available July/August 2008	Data will be available July/August 2008	Data will be available July/August 2008	<p><b>Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT)</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> School Health Advisors are on track to submit the data set for this indicator for analysis as at the end of July. Data will be returned to PCTs November 2007</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b>No</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b>Circulate analysis to relevant partner organisations November 2007</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
Percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week (LAA73b)	68%	75% [2006-7]	85% [2007-8]	86% [2008-9] <b>Stretch 88%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3			85.0%		Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3		<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> This is measured on an annual external joint DCMS/DFES survey. The schools have the surveys which with a deadline by the end of the academic year. Support is being given in completing these. Results released in October 2007. It is expected that performance will be good.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> Forms being completed in correctly.</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
<p><b>Stretch target:</b> By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week to 88%</p>													
Of those taking part in two hours of high quality PE and sport in school, the percentage also taking part in sport or moderate physical activity in the community for 1 or more hours a week (LAA74)	78%	80 % [2006-7]	82 % [2007-8]	83 % [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3			82%		Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3		<p><b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> Performance is measured annually in December. Additional surveys will be done in Summer 08. Current performance is good. Additional targeted and acute provision has been developed within the new Sports Provision programme for Children and Young People.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> Currently commissioned until March 08. Funding past this an issue. However the new programme that is used that mostly supports this target is proving very succesful and is aligned to the LAA indicators.</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
Modal share in travel to school (LAA147)	Awaiting Guidance	-	-	-	Awaiting Guidance	Not Available - Awaiting Guidance							<p><b>Accountability: -Joanne Betts</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> Data required to set targets will not be available until summer 2007. DfT and DfES are aware of this as it is they who are requesting the data via the annual school census. They have included a question on travel to school in the annual school census. As such they do not require this indicator to be part of LAAs in 2007/08, hence the interim mandatory indicator on travel plans.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
(Interim Indicator) The percentage of annual increase in the number of schools with an approved School Travel Plan (STP) required to achieve 100% STP coverage by March 2010 (LAA148)	33%	-	48%	74%	37%	Not Available until March 2008	40%		44%		48%		<p><b>Accountability: Julie Williams</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> The nature of this work is such that we won't know how many travel plans have been achieved until the end of March 2008, which is the deadline for their submission to the authority. However the milestones set for this activity for the first quarter have all been met ie:</p> <p>1. Funding for post approved. 2 - Target schools identified. 3 - All schools have been sent a generic email linking STP with environment week and inviting those without an STP to start working towards one. 4 - Direct contact with Head teacher and/or relevant contact at 19 Schools (Target is 20 schools contacted by 30th June) 5 - Initial meetings held to date - 11 Schools (Target is 9 Schools met by end of Summer Term)</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
<b>Outcome B - Children and young people, particularly those most vulnerable, stay safe</b>													
Percentage of 11-15 year olds experiencing bullying in schools and other venues (LAA75)	19%	17% [Dec 2006]	15% [Dec 2007]	14% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4						15%	<p><b>Accountability - Angela Newman</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b></p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> development of anti homophobic training for school staff</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> virtual multi agency team established/clarity roles and remit-specialism within School Attendance Improvement service. SEAL network meetings established. Anti bullying training delivered to support staff and learning mentors</p>	
Percentage of 11-15 year olds reporting racist abuse, threats or attacks in schools and other venues (LAA76)	13.7%	12.5% [Dec 2006]	12.0% [Dec 2007]	11.5% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4						12%	<p><b>Accountability - Angela Newman</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b></p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> robust systems in place for reporting from schools. Apparent under reporting from other venues.</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Philosophy for Children Community Cohesion</p>	
The number of Looked After Children adopted during the year as a percentage of the number of Children Looked After at 31 March (excluding unaccompanied asylum seekers) who had been looked after for 6 months or more on that day (PAF C23) is increased from 4.9% to 8% by 2008/9	4.9%	7% [2006-7]	8% [2007-8]	8% [2008-9]	7.6%	3.9% (figure as of May 2007)	7.75%		7.87%		8.00%	<p><b>Accountability - Steve Slater</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> the current performance has dipped, this is a very volatile PI as it is linked to the numbers of LAC and these have increased. The high number of kinship carers and children placed at home also affect this indicator.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> Failure to take any action will result in this indicator staying the same or making very little change</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> There is a clear need to review all care plans where adoption has been identified to ensure that plans are on target and that timescales are to be met. There is also a need to look at the number of Kinship carers and children placed at home with a view to looking at court orders other than care orders, this will serve to reduce the numbers of LAC</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> As above. The review will focus on timeliness of adoption proceeding thus preventing drift.</p>	

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
<b>Outcome C - Children &amp; young people excel through formal &amp; informal education</b>													
<b>Number of childcare places available (LAA77)</b>	2003 - 5,027 2004 - 5,615 2005 - 6,414	6,748 [July 2006]	6,868 [July 2007]	7,018 [July 2008]		6,800	6,868						<p><b>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Jenny Dennis</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> We are currently on track to achieve the July 2007 target with the recent inclusion of an additional 80 registered FTE places at Littlemoor Children Centre. However this has to be set against the likelihood of a future corresponding reduction from the potential closure of a Private Day Nursery provider offering 81 FTE places.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> The landscape of Daycare places is dynamic and are at times subject to unpredicted movement from unforeseen closures.</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Improved provider partnership forums are being established to assist more effective management/regulation opportunities of the childcare market.</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
<b>Percentage of children reaching 'good' level of development at end of Foundation Stage (LAA78)</b>	39% (2005-6)	-	46% (2007-8)	53% (2008-9)	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08				<p><b>Accountability - Carol Lancaster</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> In order to improve the number of children reaching a good level of development at the end of the Foundation Stage, the following have been undertaken: A strategy and action plan to raise achievement at Foundation Stage Profile has been drafted and agreed between Early Years and School Improvement Service. Integrated working arrangements have been formalised between School Improvement Service and Early Years Service. This has been underpinned by realigned and structured working arrangements. . The revised and improved moderation process is being implemented . The Communication, Language and Literacy (CCLD) programme in 10 selected schools is underway</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
<b>Half days missed through authorised and unauthorised absence: Primary; (BVPI46) Secondary (BVPI45)</b>	5.7% 8.28%	5.5% 7.7% [2006-7]	5.3% 7.55% [2007-8]	5.2% 7.4% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08				5.5% 7.7% [2006-7 academic year]		Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09	<p><b>Accountability - Michelle Vaughan</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> Data is not available yet for both Primary and Secondary Absence.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> We have a Data Analyst in post who will be able to ensure Data is accurate and identify trends that will help the School Attendance Improvement Service target interventions to ensure lower absence in the future. Target Setting Reports have also begun, where key actions for 07/08 are identified.</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
<b>Percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2+ Reading at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA79)</b>  <b>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA80)</b>	2003 - 82% 2004 - 81% 2005 - 81%	82% 2006-7	82% 2007-8	83% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 85%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				
	2003 - 50% 2004 - 53% 2005 - 28%	35% 2006-7	45% 2007-8	57% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 60%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				
	<b>Stretch target: 10a) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 85%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 60%</b>												
<b>Percentage of 7 year olds achieving level 2+ Writing at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA81)</b>  <b>With the lowest performing school achieving no lower than: (LAA82)</b>	2003 - 80% 2004 - 80% 2005 - 79%	80% 2006-7	80% 2007-8	81% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 83%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	80% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				
	2003 - 22% 2004 - 41% 2005 - 33%	40% 2006-7	44% 2007-8	47% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 50%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	40% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				
	<b>Stretch target: 10b) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Writing at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 83%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 50%</b>												

**Accountability - Janet Doherty**  
**Comment on Performance:** Early indications are that results are broadly in line with the target. A number of schools have errors that Research and Information are following up. At present we cannot say what the lowest results will be.  
**Risk Analysis:** The Primary Strategy team has reduced capacity this year and uncertainties around the future of grant funding past March 08 may have an impact on the support available for schools this year.  
**Interventions Recommended:** Target support from Primary Strategy team according to data. Continue teacher assessment training for new and experienced Y2 teachers, introduce writing moderation training for KS1 teachers. Ensure KS1 moderation process includes schools where there are concerns about reliability of data. From September 2007 the Primary Collaboratives will be working with a Primary School Improvement Adviser to develop a strategy for collaborative work to raise standards.  
**Interventions/Projects Current:** 15 schools with KS1 pupils supported by Primary Strategy team including 10 in the Intensifying Support Programme, 5 sch with specific KS1 support.

**Accountability - Janet Doherty**  
**Comment on Performance:** Early indications are broadly close to target. A number of schools have errors that Research and Information are following up. **At present we cannot say what the lowest results will be.**  
**Risk Analysis:** The Primary Strategy team has reduced capacity this year and uncertainties around the future of grant funding past march 08 may have an impact on the support available for schools this year.  
**Interventions Recommended:** Target support from Primary Strategy team according to data. Continue teacher assessment training for new and experienced Y2 teachers, introduce writing moderation training for KS1 teachers. Ensure KS1 moderation process includes schools where there are concerns about reliability of data. From September 2007 the Primary Collaboratives will be working with a Primary School Improvement Adviser to develop a strategy for collaborative work to raise standards.  
**Interventions/Projects Current:** 15 schools with KS1 pupils supported by Primary Strategy team including 10 in the Intensifying Support Programme, 5 schools supported with specific KS1 support.

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
<b>Percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4+ in English at Key Stage 2: Borough wide (BVPI41)</b>  <b>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA84)</b>	2003 - 72% 2004 - 75% 2005 - 76%	77%	78%	79%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	77%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09						<b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> At the present moment we have only 35 out of 84 schools' end of KS2 results. The overall picture is positive and we look to be on track to achieve the LA targets. The lowest figure so far is 49% (when 2 children are disapplied because they are new arrivals through the validation process this will rise to 51%) 14 out of the 19 supported by the Primary Strategy team exceeded last yrs result and 14 out of 19 met or exceed their Fischer Family Trust projections. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> The Primary Strategy team has reduced capacity this year and uncertainties around the future of grant funding past March 08 may have an impact on the support available for schools this year. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Primary Strategy team to support 18 schools with KS2 pupils. 12 of these schools will continue to be part of the Intensifying Support Programme. Introduce writing moderation training for KS2 teachers. From September 2007 the Primary Collaboratives will be working with a Primary School Improvement Adviser to develop a strategy for collaborative work to raise standard <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Continue to support for schools to embed the Renewed Framework. Continue support for Y6 teachers.
	2003 - 19% 2004 - 30% 2005 - 39%	43%	46%	47%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	43%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09						
	<b>Stretch target: By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4 in English (Borough wide) to 81%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%</b>												
<b>Percentage of Schools with 50% or more pupils achieving Level 5+ in English, Maths and Science at Key Stage 3 (LAA85)</b>	73.3% [Number = 12]	86.7% [13]	93.3% [14]	100% [15]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	86.7% [13]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09						<b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Teacher Assessments indicate that the number of schools working below the floor target of 50% will reduce. Schools indicating probable performance below floor targets: Mathematics – 1; English – 1, Science - 3. One school is indicating a possible performance of 37% in English. <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Most schools with Teacher Assessments below floor have FFT estimates close to 50%. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Interventions/Projects Current: • School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools • More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT (D) • School Improvement Partnership Boards in place for Category 2 schools. • Additional funding from DfES targeted at 4 schools. • ISP continues to be embedded. • Minority Ethnic Achievement Project continues (LA is Lead Authority for this programme). • Improved use of Question Level data from KS2 and KS3 tests. Analysis used to set curricular targets and provide a focus for lesson design. • Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' days delivered. • Targeted Consultant support from SNS Consultants (documented in LA Support Plan) • Increased use of Lead Professionals, selected from schools. • 3 Lead Science Professionals trained as 'Coaches'. • Focus on use of APP (Assessing Pupil Progress in English and Mathematics). • Focus on the use of 'Progression Maps' for English and Mathematics • Termly 'Subject Leader Development Meetings' for all Core Subjects and B&A continue. • Intervention Conference held with follow up training for intervention leaders. • Intervention teams established in most schools. • SIPs and Strategy Manager monitoring progress to target and increasing levels of challenge. • KS3 Curriculum Review Conference held. Co-development networks established to work with QCA.

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
<b>Percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5+ in English at Key Stage 3: Borough wide (BVPI181a)</b>  <b>With the lowest performing school achieving no lower than: (LAA83)</b>	2003 - 65% 2004 - 68% 2005 - 69%	72% 2006-7	73% 2007-8	74% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 75%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		72% 2006-7 academic year		Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				<b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b> <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Aggregation of teacher assessments indicates that the percentage of students achieving Level 5 and above is approx 3% below target. Last year's overall attainment was lower than expected because of maladministration in one school in one test <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Teacher Assessments for KS3 English have been unreliable in the past when compared to test outcomes. <b>Interventions Recommended:</b> <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Every secondary school has been circulated with a reminder of the correct procedure for the test by NAA</li> <li>• School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools</li> <li>• More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT (D).</li> <li>• School Improvement Partnership Boards in place for Category 2 schools.</li> <li>• Additional funding from DfES targeted at 4 schools.</li> <li>• ISP continues to be embedded.</li> <li>• Minority Ethnic Achievement Project continues (LA is Lead Authority for this programme)</li> <li>• Improved use of Question Level data from KS2 and KS3 tests. Analysis used to set curricular targets and provide a focus for lesson design.</li> <li>• KS3 English Project team established. Project managed by AWh (LA SIP Co-ordinator).</li> <li>• Increased use of Lead Professionals, selected from schools with the best KS2-3 conversion rates.</li> <li>• Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' days delivered.</li> <li>• Targeted Consultant support from SNS English Consultant (documented in LA Support Plan)</li> <li>• Focus on use of APP (Assessing Pupil Progress in English).</li> <li>• Focus on the use of 'Progression Map' for English.</li> <li>• Termly 'Subject Leader Development Meetings' for English continue.</li> <li>• Intervention Conference held with follow up training for intervention leaders.</li> <li>• Intervention teams established in most schools.</li> <li>• SIPs and Strategy Manager monitoring progress to target and increasing levels of challenge.</li> <li>• Involvement of NATE in training to encourage increased use of ICT to support learning.</li> <li>• 'Shakespeare' training delivered centrally.</li> <li>• 'Literacy across the Curriculum' supported as a whole school focus in 3 schools.</li> <li>• KS3 Curriculum Review Conference held. Co-development networks established to work with QCA.</li> </ul>
	2003 - 33% 2004 - 36% 2005 - 41%	43% 2006-7	46% 2007-8	48% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 50%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		43% 2006-7 academic year		Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				
	<b>Stretch target: 11a)</b>	<b>By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5 English (Borough wide) to 75%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%</b>											

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
<p><b>Percentage of Schools with 30% or more pupils achieving 5 A*- C grades at GCSE (Key Stage 4) (LAA86)</b></p>	93.3%	100% 2006-7	100% 2007-8	100% 2008-9	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	100% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				<p><b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> : No schools below 30% in 2006. Teacher Assessments for 2007 place all schools above 30%.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Low. Target expected to be met.  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> • School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools.  • School Improvement Partnership Boards in place for Category 2 schools.  • More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT (D)  • Half-termly network meetings for Strategy Managers and Curriculum Deputies  • Termly 'Subject Leader Development Meetings' for all Core Subjects and B&amp;A continue.  • Enrichment and extended learning opportunities organized centrally.  • Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' days delivered.  • Targeted Consultant support from SNS Consultants (English, Mathematics, Science, T&amp;L, B&amp;A, ICT). Support documented in LA Support Plan.  • ISP continues to be embedded.  • Support provided to develop the curriculum offer.  • Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems.  • Brokerage of external consultancy.</p>
<p><b>Percentage of 16 year olds achieving 5+ GCSEs at A* - C or equivalent at Key Stage 4 is increased:</b>  <b>Borough wide; (LAA87)</b>  <b>Kaskenmoor; (LAA88)</b>  <b>Radclyffe (LAA89)</b></p>	51.9% 18.7% 26.5%	61% 32% 34% [2006-7]	62% 32% 34% [2007-8]	63% 33% 35% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	61% 32% 34% [2006-7 academic year]			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				<p><b>Accountability - Janet Doherty</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Aggregation of teacher assessments indicates that the percentage of students achieving 5A*-C will remain above target in 2007. Kaskenmoor and The Radclyffe teacher Assessments above target.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> Target expected to be met.  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> • School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools.  • School Improvement Partnership Boards in place for Category 2 schools.  • More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT (D)  • Half-termly network meetings for Strategy Managers and Curriculum Deputies  • Termly 'Subject Leader Development Meetings' for all Core Subjects and B&amp;A continue.  • Enrichment and extended learning opportunities organized centrally.  • Targeted Consultant support from SNS Consultants (English, Mathematics, Science, T&amp;L, B&amp;A, ICT). Support documented in LA Support Plan.  • ISP continues to be embedded.  • Increased use of Lead Professionals, selected from schools.  • Support provided to develop the curriculum offer.  • Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems.  • Brokerage of external consultancy.</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual		
<b>Percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5+ A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11: Borough wide (LAA89a)</b>	2003 - 31.1% 2004 - 31.7% 2005 - 35.4%	37.5% 2006-7	38.5% 2007-8	40.0% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 42%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		37.5% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				<p>Accountability: Janet Doherty</p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> Aggregation of teacher assessments indicates that the percentage of students achieving 5A*-C (including E/M) will increase in 2007. Lowest performing school teacher assessments above target.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> School estimates against this performance target have only been collected for the first time this year: reliability uncertain.</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School Improvement Partnership Boards are in place for Category 2 schools.</li> <li>SIPs and Strategy Manager monitoring progress to target and increasing levels of challenge.</li> <li>Half-termly network meetings for Strategy Managers and Curriculum Deputies</li> <li>Termly 'Subject Leader Development Meetings' for all Core Subjects and B&amp;A continue.</li> <li>Enrichment and extended learning opportunities organized centrally.</li> <li>Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' days delivered in Mathematics.</li> <li>Targeted Consultant support from SNS Consultants. Support documented in LA Support Plan.</li> <li>Increased use of Lead Professionals, selected from schools.</li> <li>ISP continues to be embedded.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems.</li> <li>Brokerage of external consultancy.</li> <li>NCETM funding obtained to support development work in Mathematics – targeted at improving the use of ICT to support learning and increased use of group work.</li> <li>Key Stage 4 'Study Plus' (Wave 2 Intervention) in place in 7 schools. LA piloting this initiative. 11 schools involved from September 2007.</li> <li>Phase 1 training for Functional Skills delivered.</li> <li>Use of LIDF funding to offer additional support from TOC in Mathematics.</li> </ul>
<b>The lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA89b)</b>	2003 - 10.0% 2004 - 11.8% 2005 - 11.4%	12.5% 2006-7	15.5% 2007-8	18.5% 2008-9 <b>Stretch 20.5%</b>	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		12.5% 2006-7 academic year			Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 2 Target Reported 2008/09				
<b>Stretch target: 11b)</b>	<b>By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11 (Borough wide) to 42% with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 20.5%</b>													
<b>Outcome D - Young people play a positive role in the community</b>														
<b>Percentage of young people aged 13-19 from differing cultures participating in organised activities (LAA149)</b>	1,457	-	1566 (Increase by 7.5%)	1684 (Increase by a further 7.5%)	392	311	391 (783 cum)			392 (1175 cum)		391 (1566 cum)		<b>Accountability - Pam Griffin</b> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> At this time we are currently under target. This is expected due to the time of Year. We expect that we will be over target for the next quarter as many organised events take place in the summer holiday period.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
<b>Number of children and young people engaged in community cohesion activity for at least 2 hours per week using time allocated through: "Unity in the Community" (LAA90)</b>	1,300	1380	1400	1450	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3					1400		Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<b>Accountability - Neil Consterdine</b> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> The programme that achieves this target has been brought together with OFA. Sports Provision for Children and Young People. Good progress is being made on this target.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> Continuation of funding of the programme beyond March 2008</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
Participation of Looked After Children aged 5+ in review meetings (LAA91)	63.8%	–	90%	95%	90%	86%	90%		90%		90%		<p><b>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Continues to show slow but sustained improvement which needs to be accelerated. Additional resources have been identified for social work and IRO 's to increase capacity for consultation and ensure views are recorded. Recruitment to these posts is in process  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Additional funds have been identified to increase capacity within children social care including a manager for the independant reviewing team and he will have a key role in managing performance of the team.</p>
Reduce the proportion of young offenders who are prolific and other priority offenders who re-offend (LAA150)	Pending	–	Awaiting Guidance	Awaiting Guidance		Not Available							<p><b>Accountability: Penny Sharland</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Unable to provide info until the end of the year as measured on annual basis. Target performance levels to be established  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b></p>
Number of young people aged 10-17 cautioned or convicted for the first time (LAA93)	438	427	416	406	104	Not Available	104 (208 cum)		104 (312 cum)		104 (416 cum)		<p><b>Accountability - Penny Sharland</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Unable to provide actual figure at present. Due to time constraints in the submission deadlines for LAA, this doesn't allow us to fully collate and validate data for submission as the deadline occurs immediately after our reporting period ends. Please note revised baseline and target data agreed with G.O.N.W.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> We anticipate reaching this target for quarter 1.  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> YISP, YIP x 3, possible expansion of prevention programmes</p>
Percentage of young people previously cautioned or convicted who re-offend during the year (LAA94)	39.70%	–	39.2%	38.7% (2.5% reduction overall)	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4						39.2%	<p><b>Accountability - Penny Sharland</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b> Unable to provide info until the end of the year as measured on annual basis.  <b>Risk Analysis:</b> We don't envisage meeting this target this year  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> FW programmes, Youth Offending Panels for young people convicted of first offence, risk led approach, supervision programmes with specified activities, planning improved intervention programmes specifically linked to offending behaviour</p>	
Percentage of Looked After Children cautioned or convicted (PAF C81) (LAA95)	4.7%	–	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	4.6%	2.7%		2.7%		2.7%		<p><b>Accountability - Steve Slater</b>  <b>Comment on Performance:</b>  <b>Risk Analysis:</b>  <b>Interventions Recommended:</b>  <b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Ongoing training with staff from the children's homes focussing on diversionary tactics. Closely monitoring private providers work with children in their homes.</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2007/08 Performance								2007/08 Performance Commentary
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	
The percentage of those young people who have been identified by the Youth Offending Service as being at risk of committing criminal offences, who receive an intervention with their family or carers (LAA151)	20%	-	30%	40%	30%	Not Available					30%		<p><b>Accountability: Penny Sharland</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> Unable to provide actual figure at present. Due to time constraints in the submission deadlines for LAA, this doesn't allow us to fully collate and validate data for submission as the deadline occurs immediately after our reporting period ends.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> We don't envisage meeting this target in the first two quarters of this year, however by the third quarter we should have increased staff and interventions in place to increase delivery of this target and we envisage fully meeting this target by the end of the year.</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b></p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Parenting Worker who delivers one to one support, RAP Parenting Worker (post Vacant) access to FGM, Identified YOS staff to be trained in delivery of FGM. Respect - Parenting Co-ordinator and Parenting Workers to be recruited.</p>
<b>Outcome E - Young people achieve economic well being</b>													
Percentage of young people with Learning & Other Disabilities in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA96)	79.5%	81.5% Nov 2006	82% Nov 2007	82.5% Nov 2008	76.5%	76.0%	79.3%			82.0%			<p><b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> The overall increase in NEET since last year is now affecting this group to a significant extent. Although quarterly target almost met</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> None at this stage</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Close monitoring</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Connexions NEET strategy, Activity Agreements, NEET Business Case currently being considered</p>
Percentage of teenage parents in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA97)	35.8% 2005	38%	40%	50%	35.50%	31.60%	37.00%			38.50%		40.00%	<p><b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> The overall increase in NEET since last year is now affecting this group to a significant extent. Although quarterly target almost met</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> None at this stage</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Close monitoring</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Connexions NEET strategy, Activity Agreements, NEET Business Case currently being considered</p>
Percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training: Borough wide (LAA98)	7.0%	6.9% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	6.6% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	6.3% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 <b>Stretch 5.8%</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8.10%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4			Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4		6.6% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	<p><b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Connexions)</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> The '16-18 NEET rate' is now showing signs of steadying having increased by only 1.2% since last June. Oldham's general unemployment rate (16-65) continues to increase. Although the annual increase between May 2006 and May 2007 is lower than the previous month, Alongside Stockport, Oldham's annual unemployment growth is still well above the remainder of Greater Manchester, the North West and UK. Significant progress being made on lowest performing ward with 10.3% reduction since June 2006.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> If downward trend in economy continues, unlikely to meet interim target for 2007-08</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> Business Case submitted to develop further four strands of NEET strategy.</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Connexions NEET strategy, Activity Agreements, NEET Business Case currently being considered</p>
The lowest performing ward achieving no higher than (LAA99)	15.5%	14.5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	13.5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	11.0% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 <b>Stretch 10.5%</b>	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	14.80%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4			Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4		13.5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
<b>Stretch Target: 12) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to reduce the percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training (Borough wide) to 5.8% with the lowest performing ward achieving no higher than 10.5%</b>													
16-18 year olds recorded as "Unknown" (LAA100)	3.9%	<=5%	<=5%	<=5%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	4.20%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4			Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4		<=5%	<p><b>Accountability - Tim Mitchell</b></p> <p><b>Comment on Performance:</b> Continuously below 5% target.</p> <p><b>Risk Analysis:</b> None</p> <p><b>Interventions Recommended:</b> None</p> <p><b>Interventions/Projects Current:</b> Connexions NEET strategy, Activity Agreements, NEET Business Case currently being considered</p>