

LAA Target Monitoring Report

Red	Critical - Way off target. Needs urgent attention
Amber	Changes identified - further work required to meet target
Green	Very Good Performance - direction of travel positive

Children & Young People Block

Block Lead: Ruth Baldwin (OMBC) supported by Jill Beaumont (Oldham PCT)

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary		
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	
Outcome A - Health of children an young people is improved															
Percentage of schools achieving 2005 Healthy School Status (LAA65)	25/110 (22.7%)	55/110 (50%) [Dec 2006] 70/110 (64%) [Dec 2007]	78/110 (71%) [Dec 2008]	83/110 (75%) [Dec 2009] Stretch 104/110 (95%)	35/110 (31.8%) Stretch 36/110 (32.7%)	26.5%	45/110 (40.9%) Stretch 46/110 (41.8%)	32.40%	55/110 (50%) Stretch 56/110 (50.9%)	50%	59/110 (53.6%) Stretch 60/110 (54.5%)	50%		<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Improvement in performance from 22.7% in December 2005 to 50% in December 2006. Risk Analysis: None Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Target met Risk Analysis: None Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>
Stretch target: 9a) By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the proportion of schools achieving Healthy School Standard to 104/110 (95%)															
Number of conceptions to under 18s per 1,000 females aged 15-17 (LAA66)	56.6	40.5	38.7	33.5	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	40.5	48.4	<p>Accountability - Susan Johal (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: C-Card Scheme, NHSS - SRE in schools, SRE in Youth Service, sexual health services, family planning, specialist midwife and health visitor working with the most vulnerable, outreach sexual health services, sexual health clinics in LAC residentials, training for professionals to work with young people and sexual health, work with young men, outreach work in schools, training for school nurse,</p>	<p>Accountability - Susan Johal (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Data available only in quarter 4 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: C-Card Scheme, NHSS - SRE in schools, SRE in Youth Service, sexual health services, family planning, specialist midwife and health visitor working with the most vulnerable, outreach sexual health services, sexual health clinics in LAC residentials, training for professionals to work with young people and sexual health, work with young men, outreach work in schools, training for school nurse,</p>
With the ward with the highest rate being no higher than (LAA67)	106.5	100 [Data for 2006 reported Feb 2008]	80 [data for 2007 reported Feb 2009]	70 [Data for 2008 reported Feb 2010]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	100	Data Not Yet Available		
Reduce incidence of Sexually Transmitted Infections amongst under - 18s (LAA68)	Establish baseline in 2006-7 for under 16s and 16-19s using Dept of Health methodology	N/A	12.81%	12.31%										<p>Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT) Comment on Performance: The Oldham Chlamydia Screening Programme commenced in October 2006. Between October 2006 and March 2007 data was collected relating to the percentage of individuals who tested positive for Chlamydia. The six month average was 13.31% and therefore this will be the baseline from April 2007 onwards Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Increasing the number of young people targeted for and accepting screening when accessing Brook's sexual health services. Increasing the capacity of the PCT's sexual health service to carry out and promote screening. Encouraging school health advisors to carry out screening. Training the staff based in the Walk In Centre and in the Connexions Health team to screen. Increasing the number of young men being screened. Performance monitoring of each individual screening site. Holding one off events to promote screening and tagging on information about screening to current campaigns (e.g. SANTA). Piloting screening in GP practices and pharmacies</p>	<p>Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT) Comment on Performance: This target has recently been re-negotiated with GONW to reflect the change to the national chlamydia screening target Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Initial results are now available from local screening sites. Performance needs to improve significantly if the 2008 target is to be achieved. However the scheme is relatively new and performance should improve following the formal launch in February 2007. Discussions will also commence in January 2007 to encourage a wider range of primary care staff to undertake screening.</p>
Percentage of young people stating they consumed alcohol in the last month: 11-15 year olds (LAA69) 15 year olds (LAA70)	42.7% 76.4%	40.5% 74.6%	38.7% 72.3%	36.0% 70.0%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	40.5% 74.6%	23.1% 51.6%	<p>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Continued delivery of alcohol education as part of PSHE. NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service. Trading standards targeting under age alcohol consumption, and adults consuming alcohol for under age drinkers.</p>	<p>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Data will be available in Q4 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service. Trading standards targeting under age alcohol consumption, and adults consuming alcohol for under age drinkers.</p>
Percentage of young people admitting to using illicit substances in the last 6 months: 11-15 years olds; (LAA71) 15 year olds (LAA72)	7.4% 15.1%	6.5% 14.6%	6.0% 14.1%	5.5% 13.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	6.5% 14.6%	3.5% 15.8%	<p>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Continued delivery of drug education as part of PSHE. NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service.</p>	<p>Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service.</p>
% Incidence of Obesity amongst children aged 11 (LAA73)	25%	25% [Oct 2006]	25% [Oct 2007]	24% [Oct 2008]	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	Data will be available July/August 2007	<p>Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT) Comment on Performance: LAA refresh has updated the measure in line with national guidance. School health advisors are in the process of measuring and weighing all reception & Yr 6 children -this data will be available July/Aug 2007. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT) Comment on Performance: LAA refresh has updated the measure in line with national guidance. School health advisors are in the process of measuring and weighing all reception & Yr 6 children -this data will be available July/Aug 2007. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>
Percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week (LAA73b)	68%	75% [2006-7]	85% [2007-8]	86% [2008-9] Stretch 88%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	75.0%	84.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	84.5%	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Good progress in meeting local stretch target. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Strategic network of linked individuals within schools through the school sport partnership programme- 2 PDM's, School Sport Coordinators in each secondary school and a PLT in every primary school in the Borough. Delivery of the PESSCL strategy through the strategic school sport group and other key partners. Regular network meetings an cpd opportunities between partners. Targeted work with identified schools.</p>	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Good performance over achieving the target set. Risk Analysis: The school sport structure continues to be externally funded but good systems and structures are now embedding. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	
Stretch target: 9b) By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week to 88%															

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary		
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)	
Of those taking part in two hours of high quality PE and sport in school, the number also taking part in sport or moderate physical activity in the community for 1 or more hours a week (LAA74)	78%	80 % [2006-7]	82 % [2007-8]	83 % [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	80%	85%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	85%	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Planning and delivery of Open for Action, out of school hours sports activity programme. Delivery of 5 holiday programmes and twilight, evening and weekend term- time programmes. Diverse programme of activity. Opening up schools, community venues and parks and open spaces as activity venues</p>	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Excellent progress has been made on this target. The target for 06/07 has been achieved with the end target for 08/09 also being achieved. Risk Analysis: Full funding for Open for Action is still an issue. It is important that activity levels are maintained/increased to stay on track/maintain the % age achieved with this target. Reduced activity levels would have a negative effect on this and other targets. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	
Outcome B - Children and young people, particularly those most vulnerable, stay safe															
Percentage of 11-15 year olds experiencing bullying in schools and other venues (LAA75)	19%	17% [Dec 2006]	15% [Dec 2007]	14% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	17%	20.4%	<p>Accountability - Angela Newman Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Co-ordinated action plan to ensure coherent multi agency working and information sharing Interventions/Projects Current: - Behaviour for Learning Strategy group subgroup of multi agency partners ensure future co-ordinated operational delivery plans - Annual survey conducted by PSO Autumn 2006. Data currently being analysed - Analysis of exclusion data - SEAL (social and emotional aspects of learning) taken up by 75% of primary schools - SAIS officer with Bullying as specialism - SAIS reviewing information held about reasons given for non attendance at school</p>	<p>Accountability - Angela Newman Comment on Performance: multi agency Behaviour for Learning Task Group meets on regular basis, includes representation from primary and secondary schools. Anti-bullying sub group established to action plan and commission activities ensuring co-ordinated action across services. - Anti-bullying week activities reported on OMBC website with weblinks to useful sites. Also highlights Boo2Bullying email service for C&YP living in care and Council Safe parenting. Bullying Fact Sheet available. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Anti bullying task group to action plan and create risk register ;to co-ordinate Healthy Schools Standard activities with National Strategies focus; to investigate school level data collection and reporting - Annual pupil survey to be conducted for monitoring purposes</p>
Percentage of 11-15 year olds reporting racist abuse, threats or attacks in schools and other venues (LAA76)	13.7%	12.5% [Dec 2006]	12.0% [Dec 2007]	11.5% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	13%	15.4%	<p>Accountability - Angela Newman Comment on Performance: Milestones are being met in line with the Behaviour 4 Learning action plan. Local targets may need to be reviewed as a more secure baseline is established. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: The Healthy Schools Standard is implemented through Positive Steps Oldham and all schools achieving Healthy Schools Status are required to have an anti-bullying policy. Anti-bullying is a sub group of the Behaviour 4 Learning Strategy and an action plan for ensuring that schools anti-bullying policies are reviewed and implemented is being developed. Further Key Actions will also be developed in order to ensure anti-bullying procedures are implemented across the CYP and F Directorate.</p>	<p>Accountability - Angela Newman Comment on Performance: multi agency Behaviour for Learning Task Group meets on regular basis, includes representation from primary and secondary schools. Anti-bullying sub group established to action plan and commission activities ensuring co-ordinated action across services. - Anti-bullying week activities reported on OMBC website with weblinks to useful sites. Also highlights Boo2Bullying email service for C&YP living in care and Council Safe parenting. Bullying Fact Sheet available. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Anti bullying task group to action plan and create risk register ;to co-ordinate Healthy Schools Standard activities with National Strategies focus;to investigate school level data collection and reporting - Annual pupil survey to be conducted for monitoring purposes</p>
Proportion of children looked after for 12 months or more placed for adoption (BVPI163)	4.9%	7% [2006-7]	8% [2007-8]	8% [2008-9]	7%	5.1%	7%	7.5%	7%	5.1%	7%	7.5%	<p>Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: A Service Manager was employed in Nov to manage directly the Fostering and Adoption team. The Adoption team has been restructured and two additional social workers posts have been created to assist with the increased work of the team. Also an audit of all outstanding adoptions and their timescales has been done and these are being monitored to ensure that in future, all adoptions will meet the timescales, or the reasons for them not doing so are known and are unavoidable. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: this is monitored monthly and performance has dipped this quarter Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Need to assess why this has happened, whether it is a blip or the trend is now downwards Interventions/Projects Current: Sservice manager now in place</p>	
Outcome C - Children and young people excel through formal and informal education															
Number of childcare places available (LAA77)	2003 - 5,027 2004 - 5,615 2005 - 6,414	6,748 [July 2006]	6,868 [July 2007]	7,018 [July 2008]		6,656	6,748	6,625	6,748	6,696	6,748	6,999	<p>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: The milestones and targets have been exceeded, with a further 80 daycare places to be registered by April 2007. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:Phase 1 Children's Centres are all operational and developing transition plans. A Childcare Action Partnership has been established. Local Childcare Forums are at varying stages of development to ensure a local childcare strategy. A childcare sufficiency audit is underway. Integrated open forums have been established to consult in relation to Phase 2 Children's Centre childcare co-ordination. A Childminder Strategy has been developed. A project group was established to ensure the risk management in relation to the creation of the above childcare places for Phase 1 Children's Centres. Regular communication with a Senior OfSTED inspector has been established to ensure monitoring of the registration process. Sustainability funding was provided to all Phase 1 Children's Centres with daycare provision.</p>	<p>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: The OfSTED registration process continues for the additional 434 places in Children's Centres and there are a number of variations being progressed through OfSTED to enable a fully co-ordinated and integrated day care offer with schools. The build process at Littlemoor continues and the OfSTED registration is proceeding to enable the places to be created as soon as possible. A number of childminder places have been included and co-ordinated through a strategy to ensure that a variety of childcare places are created to flexibly meet family need. Risk Analysis: DfES continue to be aware of the situation at Littlemoor and fortnightly site meetings are taking place to ensure that progress is monitored and is progressing. Childcare places in schools has been risk assessed and Headteachers are fully aware of the benefits and challenges of providing childcare. Interventions Recommended: Continued ongoing monitoring of the 434 registred childcare places and capital build at Littlemoor under a risk assessment approach. Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)
Percentage of children reaching "good" level of development at end of Foundation Stage (LAA78)	46% (2005)	48% (2006-7)	49% (2007-8)	50% (2008-9)	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	39.1% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	46.0%	<p>Accountability - Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance:The CLLD programme is meeting deadlines and expected progress. Improved moderation processes have produced a much more robust process. Evidence at school team meetings demonstrates improvement in provision and practitioner's skills. Progress identified in reports from regional advisors. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:Available data is not yet robust enough to identify and target groups of pupils. The need for a data analyst to support the work of the Senior Foundation Stage Consultant has been identified. This will feature in discussion about future staffing structures during the period 07-08. Further realignment/restructuring of teams is required to target staff more effectively so they can work on intervention strategies and the implementation of the EYFS and the CLLD programme. Interventions/Projects Current: In order to improve the number of children reaching a good level of development at the end of the Foundation Stage, the following actions have been undertaken: A strategy and action plan to raise achievement at Foundation Stage Profile has been drafted and agreed between Early Years and School Improvement Service Partnership working between School Improvement Service and Early Years Serv The Communication, Language and Literacy (CCLD) programme in 10 selected schools underway. Oldham's Challenge and Support Strategy has been developed and is currently being piloted in targeted schools and childcare settings. The Includ project has produced some key resources for providers, which will form part of the tool kit for self-assessment.</p>	<p>Accountability - Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: The target for 2006/07 was 48%, the actual score was 39%. In 2007/08 we have set a target of 49%. In order to support the achievement of this we have put in place the following strategies in conjunction with the School Improvement Service: Risk Analysis: Interventions/Projects Current: * A revised moderation strategy * Participation of cross authority moderation * Raising awareness of the importance of Foundation Stage Profile data with Headteachers, Assessment Co-ordinators and Foundation Stage Teachers * Increased targeted support for schools with low Foundation Stage Profile * Increased rigour of data analysis to identify under performance and low achieving groups * Targeted training to support teachers and assessment coordinators * Implementation of the national Communication, Literacy, Language Development programme * Increased training for practitioners in Communication, Literacy, Language Development and Personal, Social and Emotional Development using communicating matters. * Appointment of Early Years lead in the School Improvement * Monitoring schools participation in moderation</p>
Half days missed through authorised and unauthorised absence: Primary; (BVP146) Secondary (BVP145)	5.7% 8.5%	5.5% 7.7% [2006-7]	5.3% 7.55% [2007-8]	5.2% 7.4% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	5.72% 7.98% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	5.72% 7.98%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	6.0% 7.98%	<p>Accountability - Michelle Vaughan Comment on Performance: Target for Secondary and Primary Absence has not been met – however this is due to a number of factors: - Absence levels in Oldham were affected by a particularly virulent virus "Flu B" during Spring Term 2006 – this has affected absence rates nationally. - The occurrence of Eid just after the October half-term, also had a big impact on absence. - Secondary absence levels have in the main risen in most regions – Oldham and the North West seem to have "bucked" the national trend. - Primary absence levels have in the main risen in most regions – Oldham Primary Absence is still lower than the national primary absence rate Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:-Funding for Data Analyst Post has now been secured - Oldham took part in a Early Warning System for Flu Epidemics - Research is now taking place to look at how the impact of Eid on absence can be minimised Interventions/Projects Current: - Attendance Leaders Network event took place in November 2006 and role of attendance leader was explored, along with sharing of good practice, exploration of new registration codes and updates from DFES. - Target Setting took place in Autumn 2006, and the RAG reporting framework was implemented with all schools. - Funding has been secured for a Permanent Data Analyst and this post will be filled by June 2007. In addition, a temporary Data Analyst has been employed until this post has been filled. - A closer working partnership has been established with Behaviour & Attendance and School Improvement Service – regular meetings are now held. - 3 Priority schools (under Persistent Absence criteria) have been identified by the DFES and these have been subject to close monitoring and support. - There has been a continued participation in the Behaviour & Strategy task group. - School Attendance Improvement Service Manager/Deputy has participated in a range of strategy meetings e.g. Messenger (Child Sex Exploitation), FIP (Family Intervention Programme), PIF (Problem Individual Families), Youth Crime Prevention and FGM (Family Group Meetings). - Actions have been implemented from Service Improvement Plan e.g. Parenting Programme, analysis has been conducted on the link between attendance and attainment</p>	<p>Accountability - Michelle Vaughan Comment on Performance: Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year (September 2006 - May 2007). Achieved lowest secondary absence rate ever- Downward trend over last four academic years and closing the gap between national and local (national absence is 7.92%) - Absence rates for 2005/6 are now finalised- LA data indicates that we are not on trajectory to meet this target- It is felt that the BVP1 targets were over ambitious as they are based on our LPSA targets. Work with the Research & Information team has enabled us to refine our target setting and projection methods and has meant our primary targets have been successfully renegotiated. Unfortunately, LPSA targets are non-negotiable- Absence levels in Oldham were affected by a particularly virulent virus "Flu B" during Spring Term 2006 – this has affected absence rates nationally. Secondary absence levels have in the main risen in most regions – Oldham and the North West seem to have "bucked" the national trend.- The lack of a Research & Intelligence post will also have implications for 06/07 targets being met/renegotiated. This post has previously meant that EWS interventions are underpinned by detailed analysis and are therefore more effective in raising school attendance. Also, the post has been able to analyse the effect of the new DFES registration codes and its potential effect on attendance levels. Initial research has shown that attendance could be up to 1% less in 2006/7. However, further detailed analysis needs to take place to renegotiate targets. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Individual schools. Data was reviewed, however no significant difference b</p> <p>Interventions/Projects Current:Individual targets set for all schools were set during Autumn Term 06 along with levels of support for individual schools. Data was reviewed, however no significant difference between Forvus and EWO online data meant that a full cleansing exercise was not undertaken.Funding has now been agreed for a Research & Intelligence Officer post to be funded from April 2006 onward</p>
Percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA79)	2003 - 82% 2004 - 81% 2005 - 81%	82% 2006-7	82% 2007-8	83% 2008 9 Stretch 85%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82%	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions/Projects Current: Primary Strategy support and training is taking place during the academic year 2006-7.Training from Education Excellence on the reading into writing process. Additional funding of £12,500 for 2 Hard to shift schools to improve standards through the deployment of additional staff in 2007 and 2008. School Improvement Partner focus on support and challenge for school self-evaluation. Challenge in target setting meeting within 5% of FFT D for 2008. Monitoring of cohorts against age – related expectation. School Improvement Advisers undertook HT performance in 90% schools ensuring targets linked directly to standards priorities where appropriate. Termly monitoring meetings for 15 schools with HOSI & SIP, HT & Chair of Governors. Strategic deployment of SIPS from Jan 2007 ensured continuity and consistency in the support and challenge for underattaining and underperforming schools.KS1 targets set for 2007.Training for new and experience teachers on quality assessment at the end of KS1.Training on transition from FS to Y1.</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ to 82% (National results have declined)The lowest performing school in reading achieved 40% which exceeds the target for 2006/7 Risk Analysis: Schools at risk of achieving below the lowest result have been identified through the target setting process and discussions with Headteachers. Additional support for these schools has been identified. Large numbers of international new arrivals to Oldham and high mobility in some schools can affect school's results dramatically. Interventions/Projects Current: Interventions as reported last quarter continue. In addition - 10 Schools with declining or low FS and/or KS1 results been identified for the new Communication, Language & Literacy programme.</p>
With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA80)	2003 - 50% 2004 - 53% 2005 - 28%	35% 2006-7	45% 2007-8	57% 2008 9 Stretch 60%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	40% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	40%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	40%		
Stretch target:		By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 85%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 60%												

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)
<p>Percentage of 7 year olds achieving level 2 Writing at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA81)</p> <p>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA82)</p>	<p>2003 - 80% 2004 - 80% 2005 - 79%</p> <p>2003 - 22% 2004 - 41% 2005 - 33%</p>	<p>80% 2006-7</p> <p>40% 2006-7</p>	<p>80% 2007-8</p> <p>44% 2007-8</p>	<p>81% 2008 9 Stretch 83%</p> <p>47% 2008 9 Stretch 50%</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p>	<p>79.7% Results just for info</p> <p>37.8% Results just for info</p>	<p>79.70%</p> <p>37.80%</p>	<p>79.70%</p> <p>37.80%</p>	<p>79.70%</p> <p>37.80%</p>	<p>79.70%</p> <p>37.80%</p>	<p>79.70%</p> <p>37.80%</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Primary Strategy support and training is taking place during the academic year 2006-7. Ros Wilson training on improving writing. Training from Education Excellence on the reading into writing process. Additional funding of £12,500 for 2 Hard to shift schools to improve standards through the deployment of additional staff in 2007 and 2008. Education Excellence working intensively with 6 schools on developing writing. School Improvement Partner focus on support and challenge for school self-evaluation. Challenge in target setting meeting within 5% of FFT D for 2008. Monitoring of cohorts against age – related expectation. 4 successful PNS I networks focussing on underperforming pupils especially in writing. School Improvement Advisers undertook HT performance in 90% schools ensuring targets linked directly to standards priorities where appropriate. Termly monitoring meetings for 15 schools with HOSI & SIP, HT & Chair of Governors.</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ in writing to 80% (National results declined) Lowest achieving school attained 38% which is significantly above lowest result last yr Risk Analysis: Risk Analysis: Schools at risk of achieving below the lowest result have been identified through the target setting process and discussions with Headteachers. Additional support for these schools has been identified. Large numbers of international new arrivals to Oldham and high mobility in some schools can affect school's results dramatically. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Interventions as reported last quarter continue. In addition - 10 Schools with declining or low FS and/or KS1 results been identified for the new Communication, Language & Literacy programme.</p>	
<p>Stretch target: By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Writing at Key Stage 10b) 1 (Borough wide) to 83%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 50%</p>														
<p>Percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4 in English: Borough wide (BVP141)</p> <p>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA84)</p>	<p>2003 - 72% 2004 - 75% 2005 - 76%</p> <p>2003 - 19% 2004 - 30% 2005 - 39%</p>	<p>77% 2006-7</p> <p>43% 2006-7</p>	<p>78% 2007-8</p> <p>46% 2007-8</p>	<p>79% 2008 9 Stretch 81%</p> <p>47% 2008 9 Stretch 50%</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p>	<p>78%</p> <p>41%</p>	<p>78%</p> <p>41%</p>	<p>78%</p> <p>41%</p>	<p>78%</p> <p>41%</p>	<p>78%</p> <p>41%</p>	<p>78%</p> <p>41%</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Interventions/Projects Current: Primary Strategy support and training is taking place during the academic year 2006-7. Ros Wilson training on improving writing. Training from Education Excellence on the reading into writing process. Additional funding of £12,500 for 2 Hard to shift schools to improve standards through the deployment of additional staff in 2007 and 2008. Education Excellence working intensively with 6 schools on developing writing. School Improvement Partner focus on support and challenge for school self-evaluation. Challenge in target setting meeting within 5% of FFT D for 2008. Monitoring of cohorts against age – related expectation. 4 successful PNS I networks focussing on underperforming pupils especially in writing. School Improvement Advisers undertook HT with HOSI & SIP, HT & Chair of Governors where appropriate for schools with low attainment and low value added. Strategic deployment of SIPS from Jan 2007 ensured continuity and consistency in the support and challenge for underattaining and underperforming schools. Separate targets for reading and writing have been</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham has improved by 2% in English to 78% which is the target for 2006/7 (National results remained static) Lowest achieving school was Watersheddings who had a high proportion of pupils with SEN in cohort Interventions/Projects Current: Risk Analysis: Schools at risk of not achieving the high result or achieving below the lowest result have been identified through the target setting process and discussions with Headteachers. Additional support for these schools has been identified. Large numbers of international new arrivals to Oldham and high mobility in some schools can affect school's results dramatically. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: As reported last quarter</p>	
<p>Stretch target: By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4 in English 10c) (Borough wide) to 81%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%</p>														
<p>KS3 - Percentage of Schools with 50% or more pupils achieving Level 5 or above in English, Maths and Science (LAA85)</p>	<p>73.3% [Number = 12]</p>	<p>86.7% [13]</p>	<p>93.3% [14]</p>	<p>100% [15]</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p>	<p>60% (9) results just for info</p>	<p>60%</p>	<p>60%</p>	<p>60%</p>	<p>60%</p>	<p>60%</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools - More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT - Regular network meetings for Strategy Managers, Curriculum Deputies and Subject leaders (Core Subjects, Behaviour and attendance) - Boroughwide Training Day - Enrichment and extended learning opportunities. - Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' events - Additional support provided via School Improvement Partnership Boards - Additional support provided through The Secondary Intensifying Support Programme. - Targeted support provided through the LA support plan. - Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems. - Brokerage of external consultancy</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Schools are currently analysing the results of end of term assessments. A clearer picture of progress to targets will be available next quarter. Risk Analysis: 4 schools Interventions Recommended: Booster and consultant support. Intervention conference planned. Involvement of SIPS in monitoring progress Interventions/Projects Current: Additional funding from DfES targeted at 4 schools. ISP project continues to be embedded</p>	
<p>Percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5 in English: Borough wide (BVP1181a)</p> <p>With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA83)</p>	<p>2003 - 65% 2004 - 68% 2005 - 69%</p> <p>2003 - 33% 2004 - 36% 2005 - 41%</p>	<p>72% 2006-7</p> <p>43% 2006-7</p>	<p>73% 2007-8</p> <p>46% 2007-8</p>	<p>74% 2008 9 Stretch 75%</p> <p>48% 2008 9 Stretch 50%</p>	<p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p> <p>Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported: 2007/08</p>	<p>65.8% Results just for info</p> <p>35.3% Results just for info</p>	<p>65.80%</p> <p>35.30%</p>	<p>64.00%</p> <p>9.00%</p>	<p>64.00%</p> <p>9.00%</p>	<p>64.00%</p> <p>9.00%</p>	<p>64.00%</p> <p>9.00%</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Schools have used a range of diagnostic assessment tests. Aggregating the teacher assessments the current figure for pupils achieving Level 5 and above is 69.3%. (2.7% below target) Last year's overall attainment was lower than expected because of maladministration in one school in one test. Every secondary school has been circulated with a reminder of the correct procedure for the test by NAA Risk Analysis: 4 Schools Interventions Recommended: Booster and consultant support. Intervention conference planned. Involvement of SIPS in monitoring progress Interventions/Projects Current: Additional funding from DfES targeted at 4 schools. ISP project continues to be embedded. Study Plus Pilot very well received by schools, MEAP project undertaken</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Schools are currently analysing the results of end of term assessments. A clearer picture of progress to targets will be available next quarter. Risk Analysis: 4 schools Interventions Recommended: Booster and consultant support. Intervention conference planned. Involvement of SIPS in monitoring progress Interventions/Projects Current: Additional funding from DfES targeted at 4 schools. ISP project continues to be embedded. Study Plus Pilot very well received by schools, MEAP project undertaken</p>	
<p>Stretch target: By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5 English (Borough 11a) wide) to 75%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%</p>														

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)
KS4 - Percentage of Schools with 30% or more pupils achieving 5 A* - C grades at GCSE (LAA86)	93.3%	100% 2006-7	100% 2007-8	100% 2008-9	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	100% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	100%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	100%	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: - School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools - More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT - Regular network meetings for Strategy Managers, Curriculum Deputies and Subject leaders (Core Subjects, Behaviour and attendance) - Boroughwide Training Day - Enrichment and extended learning opportunities. - Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' events - School Improvement Partnership Boards in place for Cat 2 schools - Additional support provided through The Secondary Intensifying Support Programme for identified schools - Targeted support provided through the LA support plan. - Support provided to develop the curriculum offer. - Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems. - Brokerage of external consultancy</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.2% to 54.1%. Currently no schools are below 30% floor target. FFT estimates 59.5% (D) for 2007. Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 9.6%. The result was less than 1% below the LA target. LA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. 1 school was within 3% of the floor target of 30% Risk Analysis: There is a risk that 1 school might fall below 30% in 2007 Interventions Recommended: Establishment of English and Maths Intervention Board, LDI funding applied for English and Maths Interventions/Projects Current: SIPB to oversee school improvement, targeting of NS consultancy, Study Plus initiative, SSISP initiative at vulnerable school :challenge from SIP. Oldham has submitted applications to the Gateway to be a pilot authority on each of the 5 diploma lines</p>
KS4 - % achieving 5 GCSEs at A* - C: Borough wide; (LAA87) Kaskenmoor; (LAA88) Radclyffe (LAA89)	51.9% 18.7% 26.5%	61% 32% 34% [2006-7]	62% 32% 34% [2007-8]	63% 33% 35% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	54.3% 31.5% 44.7% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	54.1% 32.3% 45.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	54.8% 32% 45%	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Study Plus Pilot - MEAP (Minority Ethnic Minority Programme) - Extension of Adult Literacy and Numeracy Interventions/Projects Current: School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools - More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT - Regular network meetings for Strategy Managers, Curriculum Deputies and Subject leaders (Core Subjects, Behaviour and attendance) - Boroughwide Training Day - Enrichment and extended learning opportunities. - Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' events - Kaskenmoor: Additional support provided via School Improvement Partnership Board - Kaskenmoor: Additional support provided through The Secondary Intensifying Support Programme. - Targeted support provided through the LA support plan. - Support provided to develop the curriculum offer. - Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems. - Brokerage of external consultancy</p>	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.2% to 54.1%. FFT estimates were 51.6 (B) and 59.5 (D). Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 8.7%. The result was 1.9% below the LA target. The figure is expected to rise slightly due to school checking. LAA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. Risk Analysis: Kaskenmoor and The Radclyffe both achieved significant improvements of +16% and +14%. Overall a +5.5% average increase was achieved by the Category 2 schools. Interventions Recommended: English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. Interventions/Projects Current: SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership: challenge from SIP. Boroughwide training day took place for all schools in Borough</p>
Percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11: Borough wide (LAA89a)	2003 - 31.3% 2004 - 31.7% 2005 - 35.4%	37.5% 2006-7	38.5% 2007-8	40.0% 2008-9 Stretch 42%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35.20%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35.30%	<p>Accountability: Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: - School improvement partners, with additional time allocations for Category 2 schools - More challenging targets agreed, as informed by FFT - Regular network meetings for Strategy Managers, Curriculum Deputies and Subject leaders (Core Subjects, Behaviour and attendance) - Boroughwide Training Day - Enrichment and extended learning opportunities. - Centrally co-ordinated 'booster' events - Additional support provided via School Improvement Partnership Boards - Additional support provided through The Secondary Intensifying Support Programme. - Targeted support provided through the LA support plan. - Support provided to develop the curriculum offer. - Support provided to enable more intelligent use of data, and to establish effective pupil tracking systems. - Brokerage of external consultancy</p>	<p>Accountability: Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results declined by 0.2% to 35.2%. FFT D aggregated estimate was 38.9% Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 2.9%.The result was 4.4% below the LA Target. The target of 12.5% in 2007 in the lowest performing school has already been achieved in 2006 Risk Analysis: FFT D Aggregated school target for 2007 is 43%. This would require an 8.4% increase and would, if achieved, represent a significant change to the current rate of improvement. Interventions Recommended: English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. support Interventions/Projects Current: SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership support from OSFC and TOC: challenge from SIP. Work funded through LSC/LIDF to support joint working between pre + post 16 providers</p>
The lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA89b)	2003 - 10.0% 2004 - 11.8% 2005 - 11.4%	12.5% 2006-7	15.5% 2007-8	18.5% 2008-9 Stretch 20.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	14.2% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	14.20%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	15.00%		
Stretch target: 11b)	By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11 (Borough wide) to 42% with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 20.5%													
Outcome D - Young people play a positive role in the community														
Number of 16/17 year olds on electoral register (LAA89c)	1080/6186 (17.5%)	1705 (27.6%) [Dec 2006]	2102 (34.0%) [Dec 2007]	2500 (40.4%) [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1705 (27.5%)	Not Available	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1756 (28.3%)	<p>Accountability - Pam Griffin Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Staff will be required to identify and begin working with 14yr olds who will then be with the appropriate age bracket for Dec 2009 target. Interventions/Projects Current: Mail out to all eligible young people R U On It Poster Campaign 2 x recruitment days every quarter in 6th Form Colleges Links with secondary schools and the voluntary sector to promote the recent Youth Council Elections. Young people from across the Borough had the opportunity to vote for new Youth Council members. Through this process, Youth Service staff raised awareness of the electoral register with a wide range of young people</p>	<p>Accountability - Pam Griffin Comment on Performance: As a result of the LAA refresh we are now awaiting new targets to report on. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)
Number of children and young people engaged in community cohesion activities for at least 2 hours per week in term time through: "Unity in the Community" (LAA90)	1,350	1380	1400	1450	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1380	Not Available	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1398	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Funding for Unity in the Community and Open for Action programmes has been reduced for 2007/08. Interventions/Projects Current: For this reason the two programmes have been brought together and called 'Sports Provision for Children and Young People'. The new programme will have elements of both the old programmes. Delivering against the original Unity in the Community targets and in particular Community Cohesion will still be at the heart of the new Sport programme and is still seen that this should be a high priority. The programme will see a substantial reduction in costs, as we will no longer provide the same type of programme we do now meaning a significant saving on transport. Future plans for the new sports programme are: Borough Wide Sports Enterprise Days – years 5/6 & year 7, Borough Wide Community Cohesion Sports Event Days, Borough Wide Young Leaders Award – year 5/6 leadership courses, Borough Wide Living for Sport Course.</p>	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: The wording for this PI has been changed and we are waiting for formal confirmation of this. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:</p>
Participation of LAC aged 5+ in review meetings (LAA91)	91.5%	95%	95%	95%	95%	54%	95%	64.2%	95%	70.4%	95%	72.8%	<p>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent Comment on Performance: Continues to show slow but sustained improvement which needs to be accelerated. Additional resources have been identified for social work and IRO's to increase capacity for consultation and ensure views are recorded. Recruitment to these posts is in process Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent Comment on Performance: The trajectory continues to show slow but improving performance... the 95% target is challenging and further developmental work is planned between IRO's and social workers for Looked after children. Current performance takes us from red to amber but a risk to achieving this target is linked to the capacity of social workers and IRO's in order to ensure LAC are fully engaged in their review process. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>
Incidence of Reported Youth Nuisance (LAA92)	Baseline to be established in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	<p>Accountability - Martin O'Connell/Colin Cooper Comment on Performance: In April 2006, Greater Manchester Police changed the way they recorded incidents of juvenile nuisance making comparisons with previous years meaningless. GMP now use a classification of rowdy / nuisance behaviour. However this classification is not restricted to just juveniles but to adults as well. To complicate the situation the way incidents are currently coded by GMP means that an incident involving a juvenile could be coded using 3 sub-codes. E.g. an incident could be recorded as rowdy and / or nuisance, nuisance or inappropriate use; disturbance in a public place; street drinking etc. This means that dependant on how a search is undertaken, depends on the number of incidents per code. Because of this issue setting a baseline target and developing targets is problematic. This issue is a Greater Manchester Police problem which they are looking to rectify through bringing in an external IT company to clean the data sets. Ongoing. No date for completion Risk Analysis: Unlikely to identify robust and relevant baseline before 2007/2008 Interventions Recommended: To review and amend target as part of LAA refresh process</p>	<p>Accountability - Martin O'Connell/Colin Cooper Comment on Performance: In April 2006, Greater Manchester Police changed the way they recorded incidents of juvenile nuisance making comparisons with previous years meaningless. GMP now use a classification of rowdy / nuisance behaviour. However this classification is not restricted to just juveniles but to adults as well. To complicate the situation the way incidents are currently coded by GMP means that an incident involving a juvenile could be coded using 3 sub-codes. E.g. an incident could be recorded as rowdy and / or nuisance, nuisance or inappropriate use; disturbance in a public place; street drinking etc. This means that dependant on how a search is undertaken, depends on the number of incidents per code. Because of this issue setting a baseline target and developing targets is problematic. This issue is a Greater Manchester Police problem which they are looking to rectify through bringing in an external IT company to clean the data sets. Ongoing. No date for completion Risk Analysis: Unlikely to identify robust and relevant baseline before 2007/2008 Interventions Recommended: To review and amend target as part of LAA refresh process</p>
Number of young people aged 10-17 cautioned or convicted for the first time (LAA93)	2002/3 - 350 2003/4 - 448 2004/5 - 398	359	341	324	90	81	89 (179 Cum)	58 (139 Cum)	90 (269 Cum)	Figure not available until end of the month	90 for Qtr (359 Cum)	Not Available	<p>Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: Due to under-reporting by the Police over the last 12 months, the current figure cannot be verified as accurate. Steps are being taken to ensure that accurate figures will be available in the near future. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: The Safer Neighbourhoods Board has just approved a proposal from the Youth Offending Service to develop a youth crime initiative in every priority ward in the Borough.</p>	<p>Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: Figures for quarter 3 indicate continuing progress and plans in Alexandra Ward to appoint a co-ordinator and establish panels to assess need are now fully operational. Risk Analysis: There are difficulties getting the necessary software installed and this is hampering electronic recording. Interventions Recommended: That the software issues are resolved by PSO as soon as possible Interventions/Projects Current: Fitton Hill & Hathershaw YIP, Greenacres YIP, Unsworth, South Altrincham, Levenshulme Initiative</p>
Number of young people previously cautioned or convicted who re-offend during the year (LAA94)	2003 outturn - 39.3% 2004 outturn - 31.3%	29.7%	28.2%	27.8%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	29.70%	39.70%	<p>Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: At the moment we are not measuring 'like for like' because we are charged with looking at two years recidivism rates and the current available figures are against one year's data. The Youth Offending Service is also in negotiation with the Youth Justice Board and GONW to obtain approval to amend the original baseline recorded which was incorrect and also affects the year on year targets. It is hoped that this will be resolved in the refresh of the LAA. Another issue impacting these rates is that the Police have been under-reporting first time offenders. This has affected all GMP areas to some extent and the Police are taking action to ensure that this matter is rectified as a matter of urgency. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: Analysis of re-offending not undertaken on a quarterly basis. Analysis and annual figure will be available at the end of March 2007 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>
Percentage of LAC cautioned or convicted (LAA95)	12.8%	11.0%	10.5%	10.0%	11%	12%	11%	6.9%	11%	5.1%	11%	Figure not available until end of the month	<p>Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Although we are achieving in this area the target is monitored monthly and regular updates are available as there is still progress to be made. Interventions/Projects Current: Ongoing training with staff from the children's homes focussing on diversionary tactics. Closely monitoring private providers work with children in their homes.</p>	<p>Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: This PI remains monitored on a monthly basis and is improving, the number of LAC being cautioned or convicted has reduced Risk Analysis: This is monitored and measured monthly Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Current: staff receiving training and it also part of the golden thread i.e. appraisal team plan etc</p>
Outcome E - Young people achieve economic well being														
Proportion of young people with Learning & Other Disabilities in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA96)	79.5%	81.5% Nov 2006	82% Nov 2007	82.5% Nov 2008	81.5%	84.2%	81.5%	85.60%	81.5%	78.60%	81.5%	74.00%	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: - The target for 2007-08 is 87.1% so we are currently well below. However, up to September 2006 we were well above target. Monthly progress will be closely monitored. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: - Continue to ensure early identification and intervention to ensure post-16 route planning in place for all young people with Learning Difficulties and Disabilities (LDD). - Implement actions contained within the Connexions Business Plan 2007-08. - Accurate and timely completion of the Section 140 documentation. - Sufficient Connexions Personal Advisers continue to be deployed in New Bridge School.</p>	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Slightly below target, this measure influenced by increase in overall NEET percentage Risk Analysis: If NEET continues to rise this target could fall short Interventions Recommended: None at this stage Interventions/Projects Current:</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 4)	Current Position (As at quarter 3)
Proportion of teenage parents in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA97)	35.8% 2005	38%	40%	50%	38%	38.3%	38%	46.70%	38%	39.70%	38%	34.00%	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: The target for 2007-08 is 37% so we are currently below. However, up to September 2006 we were well above target. Monthly progress will be closely monitored. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: - Focus resources on wards with highest rate. Data produced on a monthly basis - Continue to pilot Activity Allowances for Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET), aimed at Teenage Parents. - Implement actions contained within the Teenage Pregnancy Strategy, Connexions. Business Plan, Care to Learn Strategy and EMA. (Education Maintenance Allowance) Childcare Plan.</p>	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Target met Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>
Percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training: Borough wide (LAA98)	7.0%	6.9% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	6.6% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	6.3% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 Stretch 5.8%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8.9% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	7.70%	6.9%	8.0%	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Connexions) Comment on Performance: The NEET rate milestone for November 2006 – January 2007 was not met nor was the poorest performing ward milestone met. The unknown target of <=5% was met. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: - Resource targeting will continue in the four wards outlined above. The impact of Activity Allowances should be greater this year and a further increase in GCSE achievement for the cohort leaving school in 2007 will have an overall impact on the 16-18 NEET rate, particularly as the 2005 cohort become 18. - The LSC has made funding available to conduct a brief research project examining drop out from learning at 17 into NEET. The findings of this will inform action planning with learning providers to counter this. - Continuous discussion with the LSC ensures that waiting lists for E2E and pre-E2E programmes are reduced to an absolute minimum. Interventions/Projects Current: - Continued focus of resources on Alexandra ward and other wards with NEET rates significantly above the Borough average (St James, St Mary's & Coldhurst) - Continue to deliver the Activity Allowance pilot for NEET. - Implement actions contained within Connexions Business Plan 2007-08. - Regular follow up of all 16-18 year olds according to status: - NEET every month. - EET every 12 months. - Report on key data weekly (internally) and monthly (externally to Connexions Local Management Committee)</p>	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Connexions) Comment on Performance: The '16-18 NEET rate' is still causing extreme concern. (The rate has actually increased by 8.4% since last December. The number of unknowns is low at 3.8% therefore most NEET young people are accounted for. It is very unlikely that the first Local Area Agreement milestone target will be met. To meet the target of 6.9% the rates for January would have to fall to 5.2% or below. It should also be noted that Oldham's general unemployment rate (16-65) continues to show extreme cause for concern The continued need for the Borough's young people to widen their job search beyond the Borough's boundaries, particularly into East and Central Manchester and Ashton-under-Lyne is crucial. Risk Analysis: See comment above Interventions Recommended: Continued targeting of high volume wards and neighbourhood. Working with FE providers to identify and stem the flow of drop-outs to NEET Interventions/Projects Current: Generic Connexions provision across the Borough. Targeted activity in Alexandra Ward and NDC area.</p>
The lowest performing ward achieving no higher than (LAA99)	15.5%	14.5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	13.5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	11.0% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 Stretch 10.5%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	17.8% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	15.5% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	16.4% Just for info	14.5%	16.6%	<p>Stretch Target: 12) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to reduce the percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training (Borough wide) to 5.8% with the lowest performing ward achieving no higher than 10.5%</p>	
16-18 year olds recorded as "Unknown" (LAA100)	3.9%	<=5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	<=5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	<=5% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	5% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	3.2% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	3.8% Just for info	<=5%	3.1%	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Well above target Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Well above target Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>