

LAA Target Monitoring Report

Red	Critical - Way off target. Needs urgent attention
Amber	Changes identified - further work required to meet target
Green	Very Good Performance - direction of travel positive

Children & Young People Block

Block Lead: Ruth Baldwin (OMBC) supported by Jill Beaumont (Oldham PCT)

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary		
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 2)	Current Position (As at quarter 1)	
Outcome A - Health of children an young people is improved															
Percentage of schools achieving 2005 Healthy School Status (LAA65)	25/110 (22.7%)	55/110 (50%) [Dec 2006] 70/110 (64%) [Dec 2007]	78/110 (71%) [Dec 2008]	83/110 (75%) [Dec 2009] Stretch 104/110 (95%)	35/110 (31.8%) Stretch 36/110 (32.7%)	26.5%	45/110 (40.9%) Stretch 46/110 (41.8%)	32.40%	55/110 (50%) Stretch 56/110 (50.9%)		59/110 (53.6%) Stretch 60/110 (54.5%)		Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Projected to catch up and meet Quarter 3 target Risk Analysis: None Interventions Recommended: None Interventions/Projects Current: HSS team work programme	Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Pump priming funding used to appoint additional Healthy Schools Advisor (starts Aug), giving increased capacity. This target is closely linked to other targets in the CYP block (teenage pregnancy, substance & alcohol misuse, sport etc) Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
	Stretch target: 9a)	By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the proportion of schools achieving Healthy School Standard to 104/110 (95%)													
Number of conceptions to under 18s per 1,000 females aged 15-17 (LAA66)	56.6	40.5	38.7	33.5	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	40.5	Accountability - Susan Johal (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Data available only in quarter 4 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: C-Card Scheme, NHSS - SRE in schools, SRE in Youth Service, sexual health services, family planning, specialist midwife and health visitor working with the most vulnerable, outreach sexual health services, sexual health clinics in LAC residential, training for professionals to work with young people and sexual health, work with young men, outreach work in schools, training for school nurse,	Accountability - Susan Johal (Positive Steps) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
With the ward with the highest rate being no higher than (LAA67)	106.5	100 [Data for 2006 reported Feb 2008]	80 [data for 2007 reported Feb 2009]	70 [Data for 2008 reported Feb 2010]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	100			
Reduce incidence of Sexually Transmitted Infections amongst under - 18s (LAA68)	Establish baseline in 2006-7 for under 16s and 16-19s using Dept of Health methodology												Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT) Comment on Performance: Screening sites have been identified for the first wave and screening will take place at all family planning and Brook clinics (including outreach clinics) plus Oasis. Oldham will be one of the first areas in Greater Manchester to go live with the screening programme in October 2006. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Debra Malone (OPCT) Comment on Performance: Oldham Action Plan drafted which identifies core offer requirements and identifies appropriate clinics, this is part of the wider GM Chlamydia Screening Programme (CSP). The sector co-ordinators will work with each group to develop a timetable for roll out of the CSP. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
Percentage of young people stating they consumed alcohol in the last month: 11-15 year olds (LAA69) 15 year olds (LAA70)	42.7% 76.4%	40.5% 74.6%	38.7% 72.3%	36.0% 70.0%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	40.5% 74.6%	Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Data will be available in Q4 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service. Trading standards targeting under age alcohol consumption, and adults consuming alcohol for under age drinkers.	Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
Percentage of young people admitting to using illicit substances in the last 6 months: 11-15 years olds; (LAA71) 15 year olds (LAA72)	7.4% 15.1%	6.5% 14.6%	6.0% 14.1%	5.5% 13.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	6.5% 14.6%	Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: NHSS, Targeted prev education: PRU, YOS, LAC, schools, youth service.	Accountability - Susan Johal (DAAT) Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
% incidence of Obesity amongst children aged 11 (LAA73)	25%	25% [Oct 2006]	25% [Oct 2007]	24% [Oct 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	25%	Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT) Comment on Performance: After the target was agreed guidance from DH has changed and we now have to measure different cohorts of children -Yr 6 and Reception. The children will be measured on an annual basis and form part of a national data set. The guidance is aimed at halting the rise of obesity by 2010 but they have set targets of a rise of no more than 0.4% 2006/7 and 0.3% 2007/8 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: This will be raised during the LAA Refresh and this indicator changed to reflect the new guidance.	Accountability - Jacqui O'Reilly (OPCT) Comment on Performance: Strategy and action plan in place. The data set is not due to be collected until Sept 06 - June 07. School Nurses will measure all reception and year 6 children as per PCT action plan. Data will be submitted to DH July 07 for National Level surveillance. SLA for Uni Manchester to analyse local data, will be returned 14.8.06. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
Percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week (LAA73b)	68%	75% [2006-7]	85% [2007-8]	86% [2008-9] Stretch 88%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	75%	Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: This is measured on an annual external joint DCMS/Dfes survey. The surveys are currently in schools and the results are issued in October. It is expected we will hit our 06 target of 75% Risk Analysis: The school sport structure is mostly externally funded. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: This is measured on an annual external joint DCMS/Dfes survey. The surveys are currently in schools and the results are issued in October. It is expected we will hit our 06 target of 75% Risk Analysis: The school sport structure is mostly externally funded. Interventions Recommended:	
	Stretch target: 9b)	By the end of the third year of the LAA, increase the percentage of children and young people aged 5-16 inclusive who take part in high quality PE or school sport for more than two hours per week to 88%													
Of those taking part in two hours of high quality PE and sport in school, the number also taking part in sport or moderate physical activity in the community for 1 or more hours a week (LAA74)	78%	80% [2006-7]	82% [2007-8]	83% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3				80%	Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Ongoing funding is a potential issue for the Open for Action programme, which provides most of the activity that contributes to this target. The programme is partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Ongoing funding is a potential issue for the Open for Action programme, which provides most of the activity that contributes to this target. The programme is partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream. Interventions Recommended:	

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary			
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 2)	Current Position (As at quarter 1)		
Outcome B - Children and young people, particularly those most vulnerable, stay safe																
Percentage of 11-15 year olds experiencing bullying in schools and other venues (LAA75)	19%	17% [Dec 2006]	15% [Dec 2007]	14% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3				17%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<p>Accountability - Susan Howe Comment on Performance: A group is being established to take forward an Anti-bullying strategy which will be part of the Behaviour for Learning Strategy. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Susan Howe Comment on Performance: A group is being established to take forward an Anti-bullying strategy which will be part of the Behaviour for Learning Strategy. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:</p>
Percentage of 11-15 year olds reporting racist abuse, threats or attacks in schools and other venues (LAA76)	13.7%	12.5% [Dec 2006]	12.0% [Dec 2007]	11.5% [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3				13%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<p>Accountability - Susan Howe Comment on Performance: A group is being established to take forward an Anti-bullying strategy which will be part of the Behaviour for Learning Strategy. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Susan Howe Comment on Performance: A group is being established to take forward an Anti-bullying strategy which will be part of the Behaviour for Learning Strategy. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:</p>
Proportion of children looked after for 12 months or more placed for adoption (BVP163)	4.9%	7% [2006-7]	8% [2007-8]	8% [2008-9]	7%	5.1%	7%	7.5%	7%			7%	7%	7%	<p>Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: We have exceeded our target, this will continue to be monitored Monthly. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: The restructure of the adoption service is ongoing and should ensure that improvement in this service is continuous.</p>	<p>Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: Upward trajectory on this PI. An increase of one child will take to next banding. Analysis of how we record children who are placed in concurrent placements is being undertaken as this may be failing to identify additional adoption placements. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:</p>
Outcome C - Children and young people excel through formal and informal education																
Number of childcare places available (LAA77)	2003 - 5,027 2004 - 5,615 2005 - 6,414	6,748 [July 2006]	6,868 [July 2007]	7,018 [July 2008]		6,656	6,748	6,625							<p>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: The process for registration of the required additional 434 places in children's centres in schools is in the final stages of completion - expected November 2006 (with the exception of Littlemoor who have previously reported on capital delay due to contractor going into liquidation). Risk Analysis: DFES are aware of this situation at Littlemoor. Capital Planning Team are working to minimise delays in re starting the capital work with an alternative contractor. Other risks include - full daycare in children's centres in schools - a new and untried venture in OMBC. This places significant additional responsibilities on the management team of the school who have no track record of delivering self sustaining services at the present time. This situation is currently being risk assessed. Worthy of note re next quarter results - there is likely to be a reduction of daycare target places (50) reported. A gap in strategic planning and decision making on spend between different grant funded initiatives has been identified as the likely cause. Interventions Recommended: Revised schedule for completion with capital planning team re Littlemoor being drawn up. Adoption of a more integrated approach to strategic planning and decision making for early years and extended services is underway which should promote complementary target outcomes. Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Maria Greenwood/Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: Children's Centres are preparing to register the additional places in readiness for a Sept 06 opening. Risk Analysis: Good progress is being made toward opening the centres, however full implementation is dependent upon good progress of construction and Ofsted registration schedules. Interventions Recommended:</p>
Percentage of children reaching 'good' level of development at end of Foundation Stage (LAA78)	46% (2005)	48% (2006-7)	49% (2007-8)	50% (2008-9)	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	39.1% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p>Accountability - Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. It is felt that improved moderation processes for the foundation stage have resulted in more accurate data being collected which has impacted upon current performance results. Risk Analysis: New guidance around Early Years target setting for Foundation Stage outcomes and performance management of Children's Centres has been received. Work towards setting realistic and achievable targets is underway with colleagues in the School Improvement Service. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Carol Lancaster Comment on Performance: Data is not submitted until 30th June each year so is unavailable to report in the first quarter. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:</p>
Half days missed through authorised and unauthorised absence: Primary; (BVP146) Secondary (BVP145)	5.7% 8.5%	5.5% 7.7% [2006-7]	5.3% 7.55% [2007-8]	5.2% 7.4% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	7.98% 5.72% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Final Results Confirmed	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p>Accountability - Michelle Vaughan Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Achieved lowest secondary absence rate ever. Downward trend over last four academic years and closing the gap between national and local (national absence is 7.92%). Absence rates for 2005/6 will not be confirmed by the DFES until December 2006. Current 'unofficial' LA data indicates that we are not on trajectory to meet this target. It is felt that the BVP1 targets were over ambitious as they are based on our LPSA targets. Work with the Research & Information team has enabled us to refine our target setting and projection methods and has meant our primary targets have been successfully renegotiated. Unfortunately, LPSA targets are non-negotiable. Absence levels in Oldham were affected by a particularly virulent virus "Flu B" during Spring Term 2006 - this has affected absence rates nationally. Secondary absence levels have in the main risen in most regions - Oldham and the North West seem to have "bucked" the national trend. The lack of a Research & Intelligence post will also have implications for 06/07 targets being met/renegotiated. This post has previously meant that EWS interventions are underpinned by detailed analysis and are therefore more effective in raising school attendance. Also, the post has been able to analyse the effect of the new DFES registration codes and its potential effect on attendance levels. Initial research has shown that attendance could be up to 1% less in 2006/7. However, further detailed analysis needs to take place to renegotiate targets. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Individual targets set for all schools in Autumn Term annually. Levels of support agreed in partnership with individual schools. Advisers and Research & Information team are currently working to cleanse the data, to ensure that there are no errors in data returned to DFES. However, the lack of a Research & Intelligence Officer, will mean that data will not be as "thoroughly cleansed" as in 04/05.</p>	<p>Accountability - Gill Jamison Comment on Performance: Provisional figures will be available in qtr 2 and final figures confirmed figures in qtr 3. Risk Analysis: Possible barrier to achieving future target is temporary funding only for key post of Research & Intelligence officer (Attendance) which is currently vacant. Interventions Recommended: Project currently under development to launch role of "Attendance Leaders" in all schools, which will build capacity in schools to monitor and manage their own attendance. Their will be clear definitions of roles and responsibilities of both school staff and EWS. Mainstreaming of Research & Intelligence (Attendance) post is also recommended but requires identification of additional financial resources not currently available.</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary		
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 2)	Current Position (As at quarter 1)	
Percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA79) 2003 - 82% 2004 - 81% 2005 - 81%	82%	82%	83% 2008 9 Stretch 85%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	82% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ to 82% (National results have declined)The lowest performing school in reading achieved 40% which exceeds the target for 2006/7 Risk Analysis: Schools at risk identified, discussions to identify further interventions to take place Autumn term Interventions/Projects Current: 30 of the lowest attaining schools are supported by Primary Strategy and MEA consultants. This is either through the ISP programme or a bespoke package of support including 6 schools receiving specific consultant support for FS/KS1. 2 schools will be supported by a KS1 Advisory teacher. 8 schools will receive consultant support from Excellent Learning on developing writing.	Accountability - Eileen Arthur Comment on Performance: Although some school data is available at this time, there is not enough to present an accurate picture of the Borough. Figures will be available in time for Qtr 2 reporting. Cannot comment on trend until results are available. Risk Analysis: 18 schools with KS1 receiving support from Primary Strategy. Need to ensure Mathematics standards are maintained. Interventions Recommended:
With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA80) 2003 - 50% 2004 - 53% 2005 - 28%	35%	45%	57% 2008 9 Stretch 60%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	40% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		
Stretch target: 10a) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Reading at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 85%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 60%															
Percentage of 7 year olds achieving level 2 Writing at Key Stage 1: Borough wide (LAA81) 2003 - 80% 2004 - 80% 2005 - 79%	80%	80%	81% 2008 9 Stretch 83%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	79.7% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham results have improved 1% at level 2+ in writing to 80% (National results declined) Lowest achieving school attained 38% which is significantly above lowest result last yr. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: As above	Accountability - Eileen Arthur Comment on Performance: Although some school data is available at this time, there is not enough to present an accurate picture of the Borough. Figures will be available in time for Qtr 2 reporting. Cannot comment on trend until results are available. Risk Analysis: 18 schools with KS1 receiving support from Primary Strategy. 7 additional schools in Writing project. Need to ensure Mathematics standards are maintained. Interventions Recommended:
With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA82) 2003 - 22% 2004 - 41% 2005 - 33%	40%	44%	47% 2008 9 Stretch 50%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	37.8% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		
Stretch target: 10b) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 7 year olds achieving Level 2 Writing at Key Stage 1 (Borough wide) to 83%, with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 50%															
Percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4 in English: Borough wide (BVP141) 2003 - 72% 2004 - 75% 2005 - 76%	77%	78%	79% 2008 9 Stretch 81%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	78.1% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Oldham has improved by 2% in English to 78% which is the target for 2006/7 (National results remained static) Lowest achieving school was Watersheddings who had a high proportion of pupils with SEN in cohort Risk Analysis: Schools at risk identified as above Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: 30 of the lowest attaining schools are supported by Primary Strategy and MEA consultants. This is either through the ISP programme or a bespoke package of support Two successful Primary Strategy Learning Networks will be funded to develop work with under performing groups of pupils with a particular focus on writing. 8 schools will receive consultant support from Excellent Learning on developing writing.	Accountability - Eileen Arthur Comment on Performance: Although some school data is available at this time, there is not enough to present an accurate picture of the Borough. Figures will be available in time for Qtr 2 reporting. Cannot comment on trend until results are available. Risk Analysis: 21 schools with KS2 to receive support from Primary Strategy. 8 additional schools in Writing project. Early indications show that English results have improved more than Mathematics and in many schools Mathematics results are lower than English. This may be a national picture but schools will need to continue to focus on Mathematics if a downward trend or slowing of improvement is to be avoided. Interventions Recommended:
With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA84) 2003 - 19% 2004 - 30% 2005 - 39%	43%	46%	47% 2008 9 Stretch 50%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	41% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		
Stretch target: 10c) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 11 year olds achieving Level 4 in English (Borough wide) to 81%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%															
KS3 - Percentage of Schools with 50% or more pupils achieving Level 5 or above in English, Maths and Science (LAA85) 73.3% [Number = 12] 86.7% [13] 93.3% [14] 100% [15]				Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	66.7% (9) results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 60% of schools achieved L5+ in Maths Science and English in 2006 Risk Analysis: 4 schools will be required to improve attainment to reach the target in 2007: currently 4 schools meet 2 out of 3 targets and 2 schools meet 1 out of three. Interventions Recommended: Central Booster and support for intervention strategies targeted work by NS consultants Interventions/Projects Current: English and Maths Intervention Board: Study Plus :Challenge from SIP	Accountability - Fiona O'Sullivan Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: The additional National Strategy Consultant for English may not be consulted from an Oldham school. It will be important to support schools well with developments in KS4 Science curriculum to ensure that pupils are aware of significance of national changes, make appropriate option choices and remain motivated to improve their performance in KS3 Science. Interventions Recommended: Proposal that an English and Mathematics intervention board be established and chaired by the Secondary National Strategy Manager to oversee all interventions in the 11-19 phase. This board will report to the LLP and Excellence in Oldham Partnership. The board will ensure that all interventions are co-ordinated and integrated to achieve maximum impact. It is proposed that we proceed with the recruitment of an additional English Strategy Consultant to support schools at KS3 through an extral advertisement.
Percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5 in English: Borough wide (BVP1181a) 2003 -65% 2004 - 68% 2005 - 69%	72%	73%	74% 2008 9 Stretch 75%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	66.1% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. Results at both L5 and L6 fell significantly. Although the national results declined, the decline in Oldham was greater. The 4% decline at L6+ . Over the last 3 years: performance at L5+ has declined by 2% and the gap to national averages has increased from 3% to 6% performance at L6+ has declined by 1% and the gap to national averages has increased from 6% to 9% The LA was 5% below target.	Accountability - Fiona O'Sullivan Comment on Performance: Although some school data is available at this time, there is not enough to present an accurate picture of the Borough. Figures will be available in time for Qtr 2 reporting. Cannot comment on trend until results are available. Risk Analysis: It is intended to increase the capacity of the Secondary National Strategy consultancy to deliver training for English teachers in new National Strategy KS3 materials designed to improve performance of students working below National expectations on entry. Pump priming money is to fund the additional time of a school based practitioner in English for the equivalent of 1.5 days per week from 09/06. There is a risk that schools may not have the capacity to release a member of staff fro this role. Interventions Recommended:
With the lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA83) 2003 - 33% 2004 - 36% 2005 - 41%	43%	46%	48% 2008 9 Stretch 50%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35.3% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Risk Analysis: An improvement of 6% would be needed to achieve the 2007 target of 72%. FFT estimates 69% (B), 75% (D). Comparisons between reading and writing show that at L5+ there is a 9% difference, with writing better than reading. This is similar to the national difference of 10% Comparison between the performance of boys and girls reveals that girls performed 16% better than Interventions Recommended: Central Booster and support for intervention strategies through NS and Pump Priming LIDF funding. Interventions/Projects Current: English and Maths Intervention Board: Year 10 Study Plus OSFC outreach TOC support challenge from SIP	
Stretch target: 11a) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 14 year olds achieving Level 5 English (Borough wide) to 75%, with the lowest performing school achieving no lower than 50%															

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary		
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 2)	Current Position (As at quarter 1)	
KS4 - Percentage of Schools with 30% or more pupils achieving 5 A*- C grades at GCSE (LAA86)	93.3%	100% 2006-7	100% 2007-8	100% 2008-9	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	100% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.4% to 54.3%. Currently no schools are below 30% floor target. FFT estimates 59.5% (D) for 2007. Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 9.8%. The result was less than 1% below the LA target. LA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. 1 school was within 3% of the floor target of 30% Risk Analysis: There is a risk that 1 school might fall below 30% in 2007 Interventions Recommended: Establishment of English and Maths Intervention Board, LDF funding applied for English and Maths Interventions/Projects Current: SIPB to oversee school improvement, targeting of NS consultancy, Study Plus initiative, SSISP initiative at vulnerable school :challenge from SIP</p>	<p>Accountability - Fiona O'Sullivan Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: The KS4 Study Plus National Strategy Programme is being delivered by 2 seconded Oldham teachers on a part time basis, query whether this is sustainable. National Strategy funding 10 schools to deliver study plus from 09/06. recommended that LSC LID 14-19 funding be utilised to support improvements in English & Mathematics at KS4 and that the LA and schools continue to work closely with the Basic Skills Co-ordinator from the college to support this. An expression of interest to deliver the new specialised Diplomas have been submitted to Dfes. If Oldham is not accepted as pilot then long term funding for curriculum development is uncertain. Interventions Recommended: Proposal that an English and Mathematics intervention board be established and chaired by the Secondary National Strategy Manager to oversee all interventions in the 11-19 phase. This board will report to the LLP and Excellence in Oldham Partnership. The board will ensure that all interventions are co-ordinated and integrated to achieve maximum impact. It is proposed that we proceed with the recruitment of an add</p>
KS4 - % achieving 5 GCSEs at A* - C: Borough wide; (LAA87) Kaskenmoor; (LAA88) Radclyffe (LAA89)	51.9% 18.7% 26.5%	61% 32% 34% [2006-7]	62% 32% 34% [2007-8]	63% 33% 35% [2008-9]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	54.3% 31.5% 44.7% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p>Accountability - Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results increased by 2.4% to 54.3%. FFT estimates were 51.6 (B) and 59.5 (D). Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 8.9%. the result was 1.7% below the LA target. The figure is expected to rise slightly due to school checking. LAA aggregate school targets for 2007 are 58%. This should be achievable if the trend over the last 3 years continues. Risk Analysis: Kaskenmoor and The Radclyffe both achieved significant improvements of +16% and +14%. Overall a +5.5% average increase was achieved by the Category 2 schools. Interventions Recommended: English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. Interventions/Projects Current: SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership: challenge from SIP</p>	<p>Accountability - Fiona O' Sullivan Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Several new key teaching appointments at Kaskenmoor from 09/06 will require support to ensure that they develop strategies to meet targets. There is a risk that PFI building work at Radclyffe will have an impact on student achievement. It is important the Secondary National Strategy Manager works closely on supporting schools with curriculum development and interventions designed to raise achievements. Interventions Recommended: A consultant will be appointed to support the new middle management team at Kaskenmoor. The school improvement partner will address the areas for development and track, monitor and address potential underachievement and support Radclyffe in minimising the disruptive impact of the building programme.</p>
Percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11: Borough wide (LAA89a)	2003 - 31.3% 2004 - 31.7% 2005 - 35.4%	37.5% 2006-7	38.5% 2007-8	40.0% 2008-9 Stretch 42%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	35% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	<p>Accountability: Janet Doherty Comment on Performance: Monitoring of this indicator for LAA purposes will begin next year. Year 1 target is based on 2006/07 academic year. 2006 results declined by 0.8% to 34.6%. FFT D aggregated estimate was 38.9% Over the last 3 years performance has improved by 2.9%.The result was 4.4% below the LA Target. The target of 12.5% in 2007 in the lowest performing school has already been achieved in 2006 Risk Analysis: FFT D Aggregated school target for 2007 is 43%. This would require an 8.4% increase and would, if achieved, represent a significant change to the current rate of improvement. Interventions Recommended: English and Maths interventions. Study Plus intervention. Consultancy with NS. support Interventions/Projects Current: SSIP initiative. Leading Edge Data Partnership support from OSFC and TOC: challenge from SIP</p>	<p>Accountability: Fiona O'Sullivan Comment on Performance: Although some school data is available at this time, there is not enough to present an accurate picture of the Borough. Figures will be available in time for Qtr 2 reporting Risk Analysis: It is intended to increase the capacity of the Secondary National Strategy consultancy to develop and deliver materials and training for English and Mathematics as part of the National Strategy Plus programme, designed to improve performance of students at risk of not achieving a grade C in English and/or Maths. Pump priming money is to fund the additional time of a school based practitioner for the equivalent of 1.0 days per week from 09/06. But there is a risk that schools may not have the capacity to release a member of staff for this role. Interventions Recommended:</p>
The lowest performing school achieving not lower than: (LAA89b)	2003 - 10.0% 2004 - 11.8% 2005 - 11.4%	12.5% 2006-7	15.5% 2007-8	18.5% 2008-9 Stretch 20.5%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	14.2% Results just for info	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08	Monitored Annually at Qtr 2 Year 1 Target Reported 2007/08		
	Stretch target: 11b)	By the end of the third year of the LAA, to increase the percentage of 16 year olds achieving equivalent of 5 A* - C GCSEs including English and Maths by the end of Year 11 (Borough wide) to 42% with the lowest performing school achieving not lower than 20.5%													
Outcome D - Young people play a positive role in the community															
Number of 16/17 year olds on electoral register (LAA89c)	1080/6186 (17.5%)	1705 (27.6%) [Dec 2006]	2102 (34.0%) [Dec 2007]	2500 (40.4%) [Dec 2008]	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1705 (27.5%)	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<p>Accountability - Pam Griffin Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Funding only available until March 2007. The current system for collecting data on an age related target is difficult as once young people reach 18 they no longer contribute to the final figure. Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: As the final figure if for December 2008, work is being undertaken to identify these young people in the Borough who will be 18yrs by 30 November 2009, i.e. born between 1 Dec 90- 30 Nov 91. By working with these young people now and over the coming years they will have a greater understanding of democratic processes, empowerment and participation and will be well informed on how to ensure they are on the electoral register when they reach the appropriate age.</p>	<p>Accountability - Pam Griffin Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Funding only available until March 2007. The current system for collecting data on an age related target is difficult as once young people reach 18 they no longer contribute to the final figure. Interventions Recommended:</p>
Number of children and young people engaged in community cohesion activities for at least 2 hours per week in term time through: "Unity in the Community" (LAA90)	1,350	1380	1400	1450	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	1380	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	Monitored Annually at Qtr 3	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Ongoing funding is an issue for the programme, it is now partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream. Interventions Recommended:</p>	<p>Accountability - Neil Consterdine Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Ongoing funding is an issue for the programme, it is now partly mainstreamed but had an original pledge to fully mainstream. Interventions Recommended:</p>
Participation of LAC aged 5+ in review meetings (LAA91)	91.5%	95%	95%	95%	95%	54%	95%	64.2%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	<p>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent Comment on Performance: The corrective actions are beginning to take effect, and this is being born out by an improvements of 10.4% since last quarter. This will continue to be monitored on a monthly basis. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:</p>	<p>Accountability - Teresa Broadbent Comment on Performance: Some data collection issues are being investigated. The cohort included children under 4, which is incorrect. Independent reviewing officers are taking proactive measures to follow up all over 11 year olds who have not contributed to reviews to establish views by phone/text. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:</p>

Indicator	Baseline Performance	LAA Targets			2006/07 Performance								2006/07 Performance Commentary	
		2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	Quarter 1 Target	Quarter 1 Actual	Quarter 2 Target	Quarter 2 Actual	Quarter 3 Target	Quarter 3 Actual	Quarter 4 Target	Quarter 4 Actual	Current Position (As at quarter 2)	Current Position (As at quarter 1)
Incidence of Reported Youth Nuisance (LAA92)	Baseline to be established in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	To be set in Year 1	To be set in Year 1		Not Available		Not Available					Accountability - Martin O'Connell/Colin Cooper Comment on Performance: In April 2006, Greater Manchester Police changed the way they recorded incidents of juvenile nuisance making comparisons with previous years meaningless. GMP now use a classification of rowdy / nuisance behaviour. However this classification is not restricted to just juveniles but to adults as well. To complicate the situation the way incidents are currently coded by GMP means that an incident involving a juvenile could be coded using up to three sub-codes. For example an incident could be recorded as rowdy and / or nuisance, nuisance or inappropriate use; disturbance in a public place; street drinking etc.etc. This means that dependant on how a search is undertaken, depends on the number of incidents per code. Because of this issue setting a baseline target and developing targets is problematic. This issue is a Greater Manchester Police problem which they are looking to rectify through bringing in an external IT company to clean the data sets. Risk Analysis: Unlikely to identify robust and relevant baseline before end of Q Interventions Recommended: To review and amend target as part of LAA Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Martin O'Connell/Colin Cooper Comment on Performance: To be taken to Children and Young Peoples Partnership to agree method of collection and calculation of data. Risk Analysis: There are concerns whether the statistics provided by the Police in relation to this indicator are reliable. Incidence descriptions such as "disturbance in a public place" are being used by GMP and has produced inaccurate figures. Interventions Recommended:
Number of young people aged 10-17 cautioned or convicted for the first time (LAA93)	2002/3 - 350 2003/4 - 448 2004/5 - 398	359	341	324	90	81	89 (179 Cum)	58 (139 Cum)	90 (269 Cum)		90 for Qtr (359 Cum)	Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: Figures for Qtr 2 are subject to verification, but nonetheless are well on track to achieving target with a cumulative total of 139 first time entrants out of a target of 179 for the first 2 quarters. Work continues in the YOS developing initiatives including joint work delivering pre-court interventions and our youth crime prevention initiatives YIPs and YISP. The YOS has also been successful in engaging key partners through the youth crime prevention sub-committee to monitor and review this target, and plan a strategic response. Through this group we have been able to implement a new multi-agency initiative in the South Alexandra Ward. Risk Analysis: Problems with children and young people entering the Criminal Justice System are disproportionately high in most of the borough priority wards. Due to funding criteria and limited resources the YOS cannot provide targeted support for those children and young people at risk of offending/anti-social behaviour in all the neighbourhoods where required. Interventions Recommended: Supplementray interventions commissioned, which target those most at risk of offending behaviour, in priority wards where high crime and disproportionate numbers of children and young people are involved in the youth justice system. Interventions/Projects Current: Fitton Hill & Hathershaw YIP, Greenacres YIP, Horizons, South Alexandra Inclusion Initiative.	Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: Initial indications are that first time entrants to the Criminal Justice System are reducing. Changes to the way in which final warnings are dealt with in a joint agency approach between the Police and YOS has been successful. Youth crime prevention measures delivered through the YIPs and Horizons have been effective in targeting and intervening with young people and their families where offending is seen as likely. Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended:	
Number of young people previously cautioned or convicted who re-offend during the year (LAA94)	2003 outturn - 39.3% 2004 outturn - 31.3%	29.7%	28.2%	27.8%	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	Monitored Annually at Qtr 4	29.70%	Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: Analysis of re-offending not undertaken on a quarterly basis. Analysis and annual figure will be available at the end of March 2007 Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Penny Sharland Comment on Performance: The reconviction rates are static at present. Risk Analysis: The YOS has lost 10% funding and budgetary constraints have limited delivery, and positioning of YOS have created barriers to success Interventions Recommended: Interventions within the Youth Justice Plan will be taken forward, they include targeted interventions on a hotspot estate, development of the YOS commitment to the Persistent and Prolific Offenders Strategy through the development of a Junior Joint Agency Group and more effective influencing of sentencing.	
Percentage of LAC cautioned or convicted (LAA95)	12.8%	11.0%	10.5%	10.0%	11%	11%	data not yet available for Qtr 2	11%	11%	11%	11%	Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: This PI is monitored on a monthly basis and a number of actions are in place to reduce offending. Risk Analysis: This is monitored monthly Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current: Training of staff is in place and is also in the staffs appraisals, and therefore this is a key issue which is being focussed on.	Accountability - Steve Slater Comment on Performance: A number of actions are in place to reduce offending. Risk Analysis: A change in the performance measure from comparison with Police area to local YOT area will have negative effect on how performance is recorded. Interventions Recommended:	
Outcome E - Young people achieve economic well being														
Proportion of young people with Learning & Other Disabilities in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA96)	79.5%	81.5% Nov 2006	82% Nov 2007	82.5% Nov 2008	81.5%	84.2%	81.5%	85.60%	81.5%		81.5%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Above Target Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Adrian Ball Comment on Performance: EET performance is not constant throughout the year as it ties with the academic cycle. The June figure is an improvement on the previous year. Risk Analysis: The replacement of a guaranteed training allowance with a means tested benefit for young people accessing E2E training has made this post 16 option less desirable, the effects will be monitored. Interventions Recommended:	
Proportion of teenage parents in Employment, Education or Training (EET) (LAA97)	35.8% 2005	38%	40%	50%	38%	38.3%	38%	46.70%	38%		38%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Above target Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Risk Analysis: Percentage can fluctuate significantly as numbers involved in calculating the target are very small as compared to the total teenage population.	
Percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training: Borough wide (LAA98)	7.0%	6.9% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	6.6% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	6.3% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 Stretch 5.8%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	8.9% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4		6.9%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell (Connexions) Comment on Performance: Rate is now below that achieved same point last year. Risk Analysis: Worsening economy, post-16 drop out rate Interventions Recommended: None Interventions/Projects Current: Borough wide NEET strategy and targeted work in Alexandra Ward	Accountability - Steph Bolshaw/Tim Mitchell (Connexions) Comment on Performance: Slightly above internal monthly target (7.7%) across the borough. Learning Agreements should start to impact over next quarter. Lowest performing ward is ALEXANDRA. Whilst still unacceptably high, the trend is downwards and is a whole percentage point below the figure in our internal KPIs. NEET fluctuates within the year so annual census done as an average of 3 winter months. Risk Analysis: The replacement of the Work Based Training Allowance (WTA) with Educational Training Allowance (ETA) for entrants to E2E and non-employed apprenticeships has seen a drop. Unless some change are made by LSC/DIES then difficulties in achieving NEET are likely. Interventions Recommended:	
The lowest performing ward achieving no higher than (LAA99)	15.5%	14.5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	13.5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	11.0% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009 Stretch 10.5%	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	17.8% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	15.5% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4		14.5%			
Stretch Target: 12) By the end of the third year of the LAA, to reduce the percentage of 16-18 year olds Not in Education, Employment or Training (Borough wide) to 5.8% with the lowest performing ward achieving no higher than 10.5%														
16-18 year olds recorded as "Unknown" (LAA100)	3.9%	<=5% Nov 2006 to Jan 2007	<=5% Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	<=5% Nov 2008 to Jan 2009	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	5% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4	3.2% Just for info	Qtr Data for info monitored annually at Qtr 4		<=5%	Accountability - Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: Well above target Risk Analysis: Interventions Recommended: Interventions/Projects Current:	Accountability - Steph Bolshaw/Tim Mitchell Comment on Performance: This indicator fluctuates, particularly as people leave school or college, so annual census done as an average of 3 winter months. Risk Analysis:	